



C A L I F O R N I A

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

D I V I S I O N O F C R I M I N A L L A W

ELECTRONIC INTERCEPTIONS REPORT

CALIFORNIA 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary.....	1
Overview	1
Criminal Offenses, Arrests & Convictions	2
Types, Lengths & Number of Interceptions.....	3
Costs of Interceptions.....	4
Wiretap Evidence in Administrative or Disciplinary Hearings.....	5
Table 1 Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024	6
Table 2 General Description of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024	7
Table 3 Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges and Court Activity During Calendar Year 2024	8
Table 4 Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024	9
Table 5 Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report	34
Table 6 Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024	57
Table 7 Jurisdictions Reporting No Electronic Interception Activity During Calendar Year 2024.	77
Table 8 Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years	78
Table 9 List of Electronic Interception Orders Approved but Never Installed or Not Used During Calendar Year 2024.....	79
Table 10 Use of Wiretap Evidence in Administrative/Disciplinary Hearings.....	80
Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62 “Report by Attorney General”	81
Appendix B: Penal Code sections 629.78 & 629.82	83
Section 629.78:	83
Section 629.82:	83

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The 2024 Attorney General’s Electronic Interceptions Report is issued in compliance with Penal Code sections 629.62 and 629.82, subdivision (d), and is accessible on the Attorney General’s website at oag.ca.gov. To facilitate the preparation of this report, Penal Code section 629.61 requires each county throughout the state to annually provide the Attorney General with specific information regarding its electronic interception activity. This report reflects the information submitted by each county for the 2024 calendar year.

Electronic interceptions encompass wire or electronic communications intercepted via wire, cable, radio, and other systems. These interceptions include, but are not limited to, phone conversations over cellular phones or landlines and text messages exchanged via cellular telephones. In California, court-authorized interceptions of wire or electronic communications are used to target specific criminal offenses when traditional investigative techniques are inadequate or simply too dangerous to pursue. Consequently, the use of electronic interceptions serves as an essential tool in law enforcement agencies’ efforts to detect and deter crime. Dangerous individuals and criminal entities, such as drug trafficking organizations (DTOs) and criminal street gangs, often rely on telecommunications to advance their criminal objectives. Therefore, electronic interceptions play a crucial role in identifying, disrupting, and preventing crimes.

The ability to intercept electronic communications is a vital tool to ensure public safety. For example, electronic interceptions have aided in uncovering criminal conspiracies to commit murder. In other cases, they have resulted in the seizure of substantial quantities of narcotics, firearms, and criminally derived proceeds that facilitate ongoing criminal activities. Some electronic interceptions have even led to the rescue of victims from human trafficking networks. Discoveries and seizures like these not only save lives but advance public safety by removing harmful elements from our communities.

This report contains electronic interception data and provides details on how authorized electronic interceptions empowered state and local law enforcement agencies¹ to effectively address crime at various levels.


Overview

In 2024, there were 364 applications submitted for electronic interceptions and California judges approved all 364 applications. This represents a roughly 21% decrease in the number of applications submitted from the previous year, when 459 applications were submitted and all 459 approved. Wiretap orders were issued in 14 of California’s 58 counties, six fewer than in 2023. The largest number of orders authorizing electronic interceptions were issued in Riverside, Los Angeles, and San Bernardino Counties, with 128, 120, and 25 orders, respectively.

¹ In some wire operations, there is coordination with federal law enforcement. But purely federal electronic interceptions, sought by federal prosecutorial agencies and approved by federal judges, are not encompassed within this report.

In 2023, Los Angeles, Riverside, and San Bernardino Counties were the top three with 162, 129, and 45 orders, respectively.

Figure 1 illustrates the annual trend in the total number of orders authorizing interceptions over the 10-year period ending in 2024:




Criminal Offenses, Arrests & Convictions

Law enforcement agencies targeted various offenses in the 364 applications submitted to California courts in 2024 (Table 2). The majority targeted narcotics offenses, which accounted for 274 applications, or approximately 75% of applications. This was followed by murder, which was a targeted offense in 48 applications, or approximately 13% of applications. Gang offenses represented a targeted offense in 38 applications, or approximately 10% of applications.

Authorized interceptions in 2024 resulted in 259 total arrests (Table 1). These arrests were primarily for gang-related offenses (109), murder (102), and narcotics offenses (44). Several arrests were for other offenses, including human trafficking (4). Each interception operation can result in the arrest of multiple people for various, overlapping offenses. Investigations involving electronic interceptions over the 10-year period ending in 2024 resulted in an average of approximately 540 arrests per year.

Figure 2 presents a comparison of the total number of arrests associated with electronic interception operations, as reported, compared to the total number of wiretap orders for the 10-year period ending in 2024:



Due to the nature of the judicial process, whether through trials or guilty pleas in the superior courts or some other disposition, the bulk of the yearly arrests are often unresolved by the time counties submit data for the annual report. Updated information on interception data reported in prior years can be found in Table 8 of this report.

Types, Lengths & Number of Interceptions

Tables 2 and 3 of this report provide various data related to the nature of the electronic interceptions conducted. This data reflects the types of devices and locations targeted, the number of original interception orders and extensions, and information about the duration of such operations.

Interceptions overwhelmingly targeted portable devices such as cell phones. In 2024, cell phones accounted for approximately 94% of the total devices targeted, similar to 89% in 2023.

Regarding duration, California law limits the initial interception period to 30 days. This period may, however, be lengthened by one or more 30-day extension if the authorizing judge

determines that an extended period of interception is justified. In 2024, judges granted 310 extensions, and the average duration of interception activity per order, including extensions, was approximately 36 days.


Additional details about each authorized interception operation are provided in Tables 4 and 5 of this report. Table 4 includes information about the number of persons whose communications were intercepted, the total number of communications intercepted, the breakdown between incriminating and other communications, and narrative comments on the operations' reported usefulness. Table 5 provides information about the statutorily mandated notice provided to persons whose communications were intercepted.

Costs of Interceptions

Table 6 of this report outlines information about personnel and resource expenses that state and local agencies reported having incurred in conducting authorized interception operations. These data, however, specifically exclude costs otherwise covered by regularly incurred compensation of personnel, as well as personnel and resource costs covered by federal funding.

The reported personnel and resource costs totaled \$12,127,083, representing an approximate 29% decrease from the total costs of \$17,146,820 reported in 2023. The average reported cost of an electronic interception operation was \$33,316 (rounded), approximately 11% lower than the average cost of \$37,357 (rounded) in 2023.

Figure 3 illustrates the total costs associated with electronic interception operations, as reported, for the 10-year period ending in 2024:



Wiretap Evidence in Administrative or Disciplinary Hearings

Finally, Penal Code section 629.82, subdivision (d), requires reporting of the use of intercepted communications in administrative or disciplinary hearings involving the employment of a peace officer. Table 10 reveals that no such usage was reported for 2024.

* * *

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please contact the Criminal Law Division of the Attorney General's Office at (916) 445-9555

Table 1
Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024²

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Number of Persons Arrested		Arrest Offenses						Conviction Offenses						Number of Persons Convicted	
		Total	Avg. per Order	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Total	Avg. per Order
Alameda	3	1	0.3	1												0	
Fresno	15	21	1.4	2	19				19		11					11	0.7
Kings	24	57	2.4	34		19			4	0		1				1	0.0
Los Angeles	120	25	0.2	15		10										0	
Merced	10	41	4.1	41										2	2	0.2	
Orange	2	0														0	
Riverside	128	5	0.0			5										0	
San Bernardino	25	20	0.8	0	10	10										0	
San Diego	3	0														0	
San Francisco	4	7	1.8	7					7							0	
San Joaquin	14	75	5.4		75						4					4	0.3
San Luis Obispo	1	0														0	
Santa Clara	3	2	0.7	2												0	
Ventura	12	5	0.4	0	5						2			2	2	0.2	
Total:	364	259		102	109	44	0	0	30	0	17	1	0	0	4	20	

² Any disparity between “Number of Persons Arrested” and “Number of Persons Convicted” may be attributed to the fact that a number of those arrested are awaiting prosecution—a process which can take a significant amount of time—or that the intercept was part of a larger-scale ongoing investigation.

Table 2
General Description of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Targeted Offenses (Offenses Specified in Order)						Targeted Location					Targeted Device				
		Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Residence	Business	Public Area	Portable device	Other	Cell Phone	Land Line	Pager	Computer	Other
Alameda	3	3									3		3				
Fresno	15	4	8	3							15		14				3
Kings	24	6	2	12			4				24		24				
Los Angeles	120	11		109							120		120				1
Merced	10	8		2							10		9				
Orange	2	2									2		2				
Riverside	128			128							128		128				
San Bernardino	25	2	8	15							25		25				
San Diego	3	2	1								3	1	3				2
San Francisco	4	4									4		4				4
San Joaquin	14		14								14		14				8
San Luis Obispo	1			1							1		1				
Santa Clara	3	3									3	1	2				3
Ventura	12	3	5	4							12		12				
Total	364	48	38	274	0	0	4	0	0	0	364	2	361	0	0	0	21

Table 3
Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges and Court Activity
During Calendar Year 2024³

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions						Total Duration of Wiretaps			Motions to Suppress			Number of Trials Resulting from Intercepts
	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Average Length	Requested Days	Actual Days	Avg. per Order	Granted	Denied	Pending	
Alameda	3	3										90	57	19				
Fresno	15	15				6	6				30	630	512	34				
Kings	24	24				24	24	13			30	1440	1226	51				28
Los Angeles	120	120				77	77				30	5910	5753	48				
Merced	10	10	2			6	6	1			30	480	418	42				
Orange	2	2										60	22	11				
Riverside	128	128				155	155				30	8490	8184	64				
San Bernardino	25	25	1		1	18	18			1	30	1290	1236	52			20	
San Diego	3	3				1	1				30	120	97	32				
San Francisco	4	4				2	2				30	180	96	24				3
San Joaquin	14	14	1			21	21				30	1050	825	59				
San Luis Obispo	1	1										30	30	30				
Santa Clara	3	3										90	23	8				
Ventura	12	12	10									360	338	28				
Total	364	364	14	0	1	310	310	14	0	1					0	0	20	31

³ While electronic interceptions often result in evidence leading to prosecution, they may also lead to further investigations and the prevention of crime at the state and federal levels.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Alameda	2024-ALA-1	185	1,950	6	94	Cold case domestic violence murder wiretap where intercepts provided circumstantial evidence which was able to be used to further the investigation and interviews of witnesses and suspects ultimately leading to arrest and charging of the suspect.
	2024-ALA-2	31	437	6	94	See 2024-ALA-1
	2024-ALA-3	41	789	2	98	See 2024-ALA-1
Fresno	2024-FR-1	5,956	375,965	1	99	The targeted gang members were armed, violent, and deeply involved in human trafficking. Wiretaps assisted in the arrest of several of these gang members, thus reducing violence and enhancing safety in our community.
	2024-FR-2	155	9,639	17	83	The targeted gang members were violent, armed, and heavily involved in human trafficking. The interceptions assisted in the arrest of several of these gang members, thereby helping to reduce violence in our community.
	2024-FR-3	151	3,144	64	36	The targeted gang members were armed, violent, and deeply involved in human trafficking. Wiretaps assisted in the arrest of several of these gang members, thus reducing violence and enhancing safety in our community.
	2024-FR-4	393	10,052	52	48	The targeted gang members were armed, violent, and deeply involved in human trafficking. Wiretaps assisted in the arrest of several of these gang members, thus reducing violence and enhancing safety in our community.
	2024-FR-5	799	15,594	56	44	The targeted gang members were armed, violent, and deeply involved in human trafficking. Wiretaps assisted in the arrest of several of these gang members, thus reducing violence and enhancing safety in our community.
	2024-FR-6	241	9,439	94	6	The targeted gang members were armed, violent, and deeply involved in human trafficking. Wiretaps assisted in the arrest of several of these gang members, thus reducing violence and enhancing safety in our community.
	2024-FR-7	171	3,670	51	49	Wiretaps assisted in the arrest of several armed and violent gang members, thus reducing violence and enhancing safety in our community.

⁴ EICOS is an acronym for Electronic Intercept Court Order System and is the number specific to the wire order.

⁵ Comments are not mandated and may be edited.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Fresno (cont'd)	2024-FR-8	222	3,346	6	94	The wiretaps in this case assisted in the arrest of several armed and violent gang members, thereby reducing violence in our community.
	2024-FR-9	408	8,658	10	90	In this case, the wiretaps assisted investigators in gathering sufficient evidence to arrest the suspects for murder.
	2024-FR-10	154	15,484	1	99	The wiretaps in this case led to additional investigative tools that ultimately provided enough evidence to arrest the suspects for murder.
	2024-FR-11	207	5,747	24	76	The interceptions in this case led to additional investigative tools that produced enough evidence to arrest the suspects for murder.
	2024-FR-12	83	1,200	9	91	In this case, the wiretaps assisted investigators in gathering sufficient evidence to arrest the suspects for murder.
	2024-FR-13	189	9,520	17	83	The DTO is transporting several kilograms of methamphetamine and several firearms throughout California. Several pounds of illegal substances and several firearms were seized as a result of this wiretap.
	2024-FR-14	82	2,114	7	93	The DTO is transporting several kilograms of methamphetamine and several firearms throughout California. This wiretap resulted in the seizure of several pounds of illegal substances and several firearms.
	2024-FR-15	112	1,780	6	94	The DTO is transporting several kilograms of methamphetamine and several firearms throughout California. This wiretap resulted in the seizure of several pounds of illegal substances and several firearms.
Kings	2024-KI-1	81	2,146	7	93	The wiretaps in this case led to the arrest of several gang members responsible for the assault and murder of a rival gang member. Their arrests potentially reduce violence for the community.
	2024-KI-2	319	20,686	1	99	The interceptions in this case related to two defendants suspected of attempted murder.
	2024-KI-3	48	1,727	2	98	The interceptions in this case resulted in the removal of a narcotics distributor, improving community safety.
	2024-KI-4	5	6,473	0	100	The target of these interceptions was a suspect in the murder of a rival gang member.
	2024-KI-5	152	8,252	4	96	The wiretaps in this case related to a conspiracy to introduce drugs, including fentanyl, into prison.
	2024-KI-6	133	14,131	2	98	
	2024-KI-7	143	24,337	5	95	The interceptions in this case were related to the murder of a rival gang member.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Kings (cont'd)	2024-KI-8	5	2,339	1	99	In two separate cases, one involved the sale or transport of cocaine. Communications referencing drug transactions were intercepted, and concerns were raised about child endangerment due to the presence of minors. This target had multiple lines intercepted.
	2024-KI-9	105	2,389	2	98	This case involved suspected cocaine sales conducted among gang members. This target had multiple communication lines intercepted during the investigation.
	2024-KI-10	109	24,041	1	99	The interceptions in this case were related to the murder of a rival gang member.
	2024-KI-11	21	1,872	1	99	The interceptions in this case related to a conspiracy to introduce drugs into prison.
	2024-KI-12	23	2,061	1	99	The interceptions in this case were related to the murder of a rival gang member. The wiretap involved the interception of multiple communication lines.
	2024-KI-13	16	758	1	99	The interceptions in this case related to a conspiracy to introduce drugs into prison.
	2024-KI-14	104	5,056	8	92	This wiretap interrupted a drug deal. Suspect was later found to be in possession of drugs.
	2024-KI-15	20	488	25	75	In two separate cases, one involved the sale or transport of cocaine. Communications referencing drug transactions were intercepted, and concerns were raised about child endangerment due to the presence of minors. This target had multiple lines intercepted.
	2024-KI-16	201	5,164	2	98	Interceptions revealed that a homicide suspect appeared to contact the target in this case regarding payments described as "gang taxes." No chargeable offenses were identified.
	2024-KI-17	95	6,850	2	98	A known gang member, previously associated with drug-related activities and multiple intercepted communications on the subject, was found in possession of firearms, large-capacity magazines, and ammunition during the execution of a search warrant—all items this individuals was prohibited from possessing.
	2024-KI-18	274	16,820	2	98	The wiretaps in this case were related to a suspect, prohibited from purchasing firearms, who illegally acquired one. A subsequent traffic stop led to the discovery of loaded firearms in a bag under the seat, one featuring an extended magazine and identified as a ghost gun.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵	
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)		
Kings (cont'd)	2024-KI-19	149	1,912	2	98	A traffic stop for speeding led to the discovery of multiple bindles of cocaine and a loaded handgun. The driver, who was on Postrelease Community Supervision (PRCS), provided a false name. During a subsequent strip search, additional cocaine was found on the suspect. This remains an active case.	
	2024-KI-20	66	7,450	1	99	Interceptions related to a conspiracy to destroy or conceal evidence in a homicide investigation.	
	2024-KI-21	236	2,112	3	97	The wiretaps related to the investigation of suspected drug sales.	
	2024-KI-22	8	1,988	0	100	These wiretaps related to a conspiracy to retaliate against a former gang member.	
	2024-KI-23	47	1,423	1	99	Interceptions related to a conspiracy to destroy evidence in a homicide investigation.	
	2024-KI-24	17	532	1	99	Wiretap targeted a courier suspected of delivering thousands of grams of cocaine to another suspect.	
	Los Angeles	2024-LA-1	477	13,612	21	79	Investigators learned the targets are trafficking methamphetamine in California.
		2024-LA-2	22	198	70	30	This wiretap led to the seizure of several dozen kilograms of cocaine and hundreds of thousands of "M30" fentanyl pills.
		2024-LA-3	91	7,638	18	82	No narcotics or narcotics proceeds were seized during the wiretap period.
		2024-LA-4	1,039	29,248	21	79	The targets are trafficking methamphetamine within a specific region of California.
2024-LA-5		319	8,545	22	78	The targets are trafficking methamphetamine within a specific region of California.	
2024-LA-6		230	13,418	22	78	No narcotics or narcotic proceeds were seized during the wiretap period.	
2024-LA-7		6	61	64	36	The target telephone lines were terminated prior to any seizures.	
2024-LA-8		10	70	71	29	The target telephone lines were terminated prior to any seizures.	
2024-LA-9		12	553	87	13	The target telephone lines were terminated prior to any seizures.	
2024-LA-10		126	2,107	26	74	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of hundreds of pounds of methamphetamine and a significant amount of currency.	
2024-LA-11		206	5,356	31	69	No narcotics or narcotics proceeds were seized during the wiretap period.	
2024-LA-12		16	289	96	4	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of several kilograms of cocaine.	
2024-LA-13		9	31	0	100	No narcotics or narcotics currency were seized during the wiretap period.	
2024-LA-14		62	2,884	10	90	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of several pounds of methamphetamine.	

Table 4

Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-15	602	4,490	1	99	This investigation remains ongoing.
	2024-LA-16	162	1,107	2	98	This investigation remains ongoing.
	2024-LA-17	42	935	94	6	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of cocaine along with a significant amount of money from narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-18	64	1,583	95	5	Interceptions from this wiretap resulted in the seizure of several kilograms of cocaine.
	2024-LA-19	2	8	75	25	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-20	263	8,427	42	58	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of a significant amount of money from narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-21	0	0	0	100	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-22	13	72	61	39	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-23	29	484	89	11	No seizures were made prior to terminating the wiretap.
	2024-LA-24	91	1,724	34	66	This wiretap did not result in any seizures.
	2024-LA-25	19	311	56	44	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of several kilograms of cocaine.
	2024-LA-26	109	1,841	55	45	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures being made.
	2024-LA-27	479	20,257	1	99	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures.
	2024-LA-28	70	1,966	8	92	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of several hundreds of pounds of methamphetamine and a significant amount of liquid methamphetamine.
	2024-LA-29	42	3,960	16	84	Investigators identified the primary target as a mid-level dealer of methamphetamine, heroin, cocaine, and powder fentanyl.
	2024-LA-30	131	3,047	27	73	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of a large quantity of cocaine and a significant amount of currency.
	2024-LA-31	43	994	21	79	This wiretap investigation enabled investigators to identify the primary target as a mid-level dealer of methamphetamine, heroin, cocaine, and powder fentanyl.
	2024-LA-32	193	3,472	8	92	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of a large quantity of cocaine, several hundreds of pounds of methamphetamine, and a significant amount of currency.
	2024-LA-33	155	5,052	44	56	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-34	213	69,340	0	100	The targets were involved in a homicide investigation. Multiple individuals were arrested as a result of this wiretap.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-35	121	3,291	27	73	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of several dozen kilograms of cocaine.
	2024-LA-36	28	92	53	47	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-37	43	466	70	30	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-38	88	2,070	20	80	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-39	83	1,237	1	99	The targets were involved in a homicide investigation. This wiretap led to the arrest of multiple individuals.
	2024-LA-40	307	7,378	54	46	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of a large quantity of cocaine.
	2024-LA-41	182	5,534	20	80	Agents were not able to seize any narcotics or narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-42	69	1,566	67	33	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-43	68	1,147	1	99	The targets were involved in a homicide investigation. This wiretap resulted in the arrest of two individuals.
	2024-LA-44	4	58	91	9	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-45	114	3,417	16	84	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of several kilograms of cocaine.
	2024-LA-46	96	702	35	65	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of a significant amount of money from narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-47	7	256	56	44	The target telephones were terminated prior to any seizures being made.
	2024-LA-48	42	1,004	23	77	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of a large quantity of methamphetamine. Investigators identified the primary target as a source of methamphetamine and fentanyl.
	2024-LA-49	16	104	47	53	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of multiple kilograms of cocaine, several pounds of methamphetamine, and a significant amount of money from narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-50	412	10,897	38	62	Investigators learned that the targets were trafficking methamphetamine within a specific region of California.
	2024-LA-51	419	9,448	35	65	Investigators learned that the targets were trafficking methamphetamine within a specific region of California.
	2024-LA-52	492	2,365	17	83	Investigators learned that the targets were trafficking methamphetamine within a specific region of California.

Table 4**Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024**

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-53	936	7,468	16	84	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of several pounds of methamphetamine.
	2024-LA-54	520	4,984	24	76	Investigators learned that the targets were trafficking methamphetamine within a specific region of California.
	2024-LA-55	1,103	6,767	11	89	Investigators learned that the targets were trafficking methamphetamine within a specific region of California.
	2024-LA-56	197	3,087	6	94	Investigators learned that the targets were trafficking methamphetamine within a specific region of California.
	2024-LA-57	129	3,917	18	82	This wiretap helped investigators learn that the targets were trafficking methamphetamine in a specific region of California.
	2024-LA-58	349	5,229	4	96	Investigators learned the target subjects were trafficking methamphetamine within a specific region of California.
	2024-LA-59	585	13,991	9	91	This wiretap aided investigators in learning that the target subjects were trafficking methamphetamine within a specific region of California.
	2024-LA-60	164	3,331	60	40	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of several kilograms of cocaine and a significant amount of money from narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-61	240	4,711	6	94	This wiretap aided investigators in learning that the target subjects were trafficking methamphetamine within a specific region of California.
	2024-LA-62	1,053	29,960	2	98	The target subjects were involved in a homicide. This investigation remains active.
	2024-LA-63	124	1,461	53	47	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of over 100 kilograms of cocaine and a large quantity of methamphetamine.
	2024-LA-64	85	1,762	5	95	This wiretap aided investigators in learning that the target subjects were trafficking methamphetamine within a specific region of California.
	2024-LA-65	99	967	21	79	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of cocaine and over \$100,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-66	99	3,115	4	96	The target subjects were implicated in a homicide, and the interceptions have provided valuable insights for the case. The investigation remains active.
	2024-LA-67	0	0	0	100	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-68	55	547	84	16	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of dozens of kilograms of cocaine and a significant amount of money from narcotics proceeds.

Table 4

Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-69	213	3,584	9	91	This wiretap helped investigators learn that the targets were trafficking methamphetamine in a specific region of California.
	2024-LA-70	42	175	12	88	Through this wiretap, investigators identified the primary target as a mid-level distributor of methamphetamine, heroin, cocaine, and powder fentanyl in a specific region of California. The investigation remains active, with search warrants pending for multiple locations and the arrests of individuals associated with this DTO.
	2024-LA-71	145	7,722	1	99	The target subjects were implicated in a murder, and the interceptions have provided valuable insights for the case. Numerous arrests resulted in the recovery of firearms and illegal narcotics. The investigation remains active.
	2024-LA-72	59	3,960	89	11	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-73	2	5	0	100	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-74	92	1,651	84	16	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-75	80	1,932	47	53	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-76	79	2,382	27	73	This wiretap did not result in any seizures of narcotics or narcotic proceeds.
	2024-LA-77	97	2,116	26	74	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-78	197	718	58	42	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-79	220	4,819	11	89	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-80	103	6,553	28	72	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-81	124	3,555	23	77	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-82	26	762	35	65	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-83	62	1,135	4	96	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of dozens of pounds of methamphetamine.
	2024-LA-84	108	2,609	16	84	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-85	294	9,794	32	68	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of a large quantity of methamphetamine.
	2024-LA-86	340	15,294	24	76	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of dozens of pounds of methamphetamine and several kilograms of fentanyl.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-87	13	369	22	78	The target telephones were terminated shortly after the first extension order was signed. No subscriber addresses were available for the persons intercepted by this wiretap.
	2024-LA-88	30	479	87	13	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of a significant amount of money from narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-89	126	2,556	56	44	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-90	55	867	66	34	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of several kilograms of cocaine and a significant amount of money from narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-91	393	16,520	23	77	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of several hundred pounds of methamphetamine.
	2024-LA-92	61	412	58	42	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of dozens of kilograms of cocaine.
	2024-LA-93	230	5,476	10	90	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-94	69	896	76	24	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of hundreds of thousands of dollars in narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-95	85	967	28	72	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-96	507	14,022	16	84	Interceptions led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-97	145	3,882	34	66	Interceptions led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-98	216	3,709	0	100	Through this wiretap, investigators uncovered the activities of the targeted individuals, gaining insight into their methods of operation and connecting them to a series of crimes.
	2024-LA-99	245	2,766	8	92	Interceptions led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotic proceeds.
	2024-LA-100	307	16,023	16	84	Interceptions resulted in the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-101	247	7,128	21	79	Interceptions resulted in the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotic proceeds.
	2024-LA-102	180	5,431	89	11	Interceptions led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-103	678	21,289	10	90	Interceptions led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-104	35	1,463	45	55	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of a large amount of methamphetamine and several kilograms of fentanyl.
	2024-LA-105	292	10,227	12	88	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-106	120	2,076	7	93	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of thousands of dollars in narcotics proceeds and over two dozen pounds of methamphetamine.
	2024-LA-107	77	2,686	5	95	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-108	424	13,784	1	99	The targets were implicated in a homicide, and interceptions from this wiretap provided valuable insights for the case.
	2024-LA-109	103	1,138	1	99	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-110	5	33	6	94	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-111	6	36	8	92	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-112	99	1,446	80	20	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of several kilograms of cocaine and over \$100,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-113	103	8,464	83	17	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of dozens of kilograms of cocaine.
	2024-LA-114	185	2,211	21	79	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-115	119	5,596	6	94	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-116	258	7,607	4	96	This wiretap helped investigators uncover that the targets were trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in a specific region of California. Interceptions from this wiretap resulted in the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-117	5	15,094	1	99	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the arrest of five suspects.
	2024-LA-118	144	4,437	82	18	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2024-LA-119	116	1,486	71	29	Interceptions from this wiretap led to the seizure of dozens of kilograms of cocaine and a significant amount of money from narcotics proceeds.
	2024-LA-120	219	3,152	37	63	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Merced	2024-MER-1	3,983	145,553	6	94	This was an operation involving multiple wiretap applications and resulted in the arrest of numerous individuals on charges such as murder, attempted murder, conspiracy, illegal firearm possession, possession of narcotics for sale, and gang-related offenses. Many of those arrested were believed to have ties to criminal organizations. Authorities also seized a significant quantity of narcotics and over two dozen firearms during the investigation.
	2024-MER-2	531	27,160	12	88	This was an operation involving multiple wiretap applications and resulted in the arrest of numerous individuals on charges such as murder, attempted murder, conspiracy, illegal firearm possession, possession of narcotics for sale, and gang-related offenses. Many of those arrested were believed to have ties to criminal organizations. Authorities also seized a significant quantity of narcotics and over two dozen firearms during the investigation.
	2024-MER-3	109	4,101	21	79	This was an operation involving multiple wiretap applications and resulted in the arrest of numerous individuals on charges such as murder, attempted murder, conspiracy, illegal firearm possession, possession of narcotics for sale, and gang-related offenses. Many of those arrested were believed to have ties to criminal organizations. Authorities also seized a significant quantity of narcotics and over two dozen firearms during the investigation.
	2025-MER-1	332	6,551	9	91	This was a large-scale operation utilizing several wiretap applications and resulted in the arrest of numerous individuals on charges such as murder, attempted murder, conspiracy, illegal firearm possession, possession of narcotics for sale, and gang-related offenses. Many of the individuals arrested were believed to be associated with criminal street organizations. The operation also resulted in the seizure of substantial amounts of drugs and firearms.
	2025-MER-2	435	43,927	4	96	This was a large-scale operation utilizing several wiretap applications and resulted in the arrest of numerous individuals on charges such as murder, attempted murder, conspiracy, illegal firearm possession, possession of narcotics for sale, and gang-related offenses. Many of the individuals arrested were believed to be associated with criminal street organizations. The operation also resulted in the seizure of substantial amounts of drugs and firearms.

Table 4

Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Merced (cont'd)	2025-MER-3	24	1,464	1	99	This was an operation involving multiple wiretap applications and resulted in the arrest of numerous individuals on charges such as murder, attempted murder, conspiracy, illegal firearm possession, possession of narcotics for sale, and gang-related offenses. Many of those arrested were believed to have ties to criminal organizations. Authorities also seized a significant quantity of narcotics and over two dozen firearms during the investigation.
	2025-MER-4	753	35,531	6	94	This was a large-scale operation utilizing several wiretap applications and resulted in the arrest of numerous individuals on charges such as murder, attempted murder, conspiracy, illegal firearm possession, possession of narcotics for sale, and gang-related offenses. Many of the individuals arrested were believed to be associated with criminal street organizations. The operation also resulted in the seizure of substantial amounts of drugs and firearms.
	2025-MER-5	885	17,679	2	98	This was an operation involving multiple wiretap applications and resulted in the arrest of numerous individuals on charges such as murder, attempted murder, conspiracy, illegal firearm possession, possession of narcotics for sale, and gang-related offenses. Many of those arrested were believed to have ties to criminal organizations. The operation also resulted in the seizure of substantial amounts of drugs and firearms.
	2025-MER-6	57	2,216	2	98	This was an operation involving multiple wiretap applications and resulted in the arrest of numerous individuals on charges such as murder, attempted murder, conspiracy, illegal firearm possession, possession of narcotics for sale, and gang-related offenses. Many of those arrested were believed to have ties to criminal organizations. The operation also resulted in the seizure of substantial amounts of drugs and firearms.
	2025-MER-7	276	16,147	2	98	This was an operation involving multiple wiretap applications and resulted in the arrest of numerous individuals on charges such as murder, attempted murder, conspiracy, illegal firearm possession, possession of narcotics for sale, and gang-related offenses. Many of those arrested were believed to have ties to criminal organizations. The operation also resulted in the seizure of substantial amounts of drugs and firearms.
Orange	2024-OR-1	76	9,819	1	99	Wire intercepts were crucial in identifying the target's contacts and capturing calls related to an ongoing cold case murder investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Orange (cont'd)	2024-OR-2	76	9,819	1	99	Wire intercepts played a crucial role in advancing this ongoing cold case investigation. Communications between the suspect and others captured through these intercepts have paved the way for further investigative actions.
Riverside	2023-RIV-87	225	11,966	12	88	During this interception, investigators seized more than 100 pounds of cocaine, several pounds of methamphetamine, and dozens of pounds of marijuana.
	2023-RIV-91	103	3,484	8	92	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2023-RIV-112	161	9,910	5	95	During this interception agents seized a large quantity of cocaine and a significant amount of money from illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2023-RIV-114	63	716	8	92	During this interception investigators seized several pounds of methamphetamine
	2023-RIV-117	51	553	17	83	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2023-RIV-118	139	12,030	4	96	During this interception agents seized several kilograms of cocaine, heroin, and a number of counterfeit fentanyl pills. Agents also seized over \$100,000 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2023-RIV-119	104	480	2	98	During this interception investigators did not seize any narcotics or make an arrest.
	2023-RIV-120	12	136	21	79	During this interception, investigators seized a significant amount of currency from illicit narcotics proceeds and one firearm.
	2023-RIV-121	118	2,643	13	87	During this interception, agents seized dozens of pounds of methamphetamine along with a number of fentanyl pills.
	2023-RIV-122	30	100	7	93	Investigators did not seize any narcotics or make an arrest during the period of this interception.
	2023-RIV-123	17	320	21	79	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
	2023-RIV-124	25	1,011	27	73	The target telephones were terminated before any seizures could be carried out.
2023-RIV-125	34	825	27	73	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.	
2023-RIV-126	19	1,746	9	91	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.	
2023-RIV-127	101	3,963	12	88	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.	
2023-RIV-128	348	31,727	10	90	This wiretap led to the seizure of several liters of liquid methamphetamine, dozens of pounds of methamphetamine, a specific amount of cocaine, firearms, a large amount of currency, as well as the dismantling of a number of clandestine methamphetamine laboratories.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-1	220	13,529	2	98	During this interception, agents seized several kilograms of cocaine, several pounds of methamphetamine, a number of firearms, and a significant amount of money from illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-2	58	1,441	2	98	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-3	9	56	7	93	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-4	39	926	11	89	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-5	36	1,061	31	69	During this interception, agents seized dozens of kilograms of cocaine and a significant amount of money from illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-6	33	546	17	83	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-7	106	2,361	25	75	As a result of this wiretap, agents seized dozens of pounds of methamphetamine and several thousands of dollars in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-8	114	5,542	37	63	During this interception, investigators seized several pounds of methamphetamine and received multiple DTO telephone numbers associated with our target subject.
	2024-RIV-9	64	1,319	17	83	Several liters of liquid methamphetamine were seized as a result of this interception.
	2024-RIV-10	38	1,143	43	57	During this interception, agents seized a significant amount of money from illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-11	148	3,283	11	89	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-12	25	301	79	21	Interception of the target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-13	18	429	2	98	
	2024-RIV-14	6	82	32	68	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-15	84	3,279	16	84	This wiretap aided in the seizure of fentanyl and cocaine.
	2024-RIV-16	76	2,189	7	93	During this interception, investigators seized a substantial quantity of methamphetamine and obtained several DTO telephone numbers associated with the target subject.
	2024-RIV-17	124	514	33	67	Interception was terminated prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-18	133	3,113	12	88	During the period of this interception, agents seized a substantial quantity of money from illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-19	61	7,531	20	80	Agents seized several pounds of methamphetamine as a result of this wiretap.

Table 4

Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-20	44	2,180	58	42	During this interception, agents seized several kilograms of cocaine, a number of counterfeit fentanyl pills, and a substantial amount of money from illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-21	159	6,683	17	83	During this interception, investigators seized a significant amount of various narcotics, including methamphetamine, heroin, powder fentanyl, and fentanyl-laced pills, and a specific number of firearms. Investigators also obtained multiple DTO telephone numbers associated with our target subjects.
	2024-RIV-22	20	179	28	72	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-23	90	4,078	56	44	During this interception, agents seized a large amount of cocaine and methamphetamine, as well as a large amount of money from illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-24	64	1,319	17	83	Agents seized several pounds of methamphetamine.
	2024-RIV-25	134	1,849	14	86	During this interception, agents seized several pounds of methamphetamine.
	2024-RIV-26	56	2,584	14	86	Agents seized a large quantity of liquid methamphetamine, several pounds of crystal methamphetamine, along with a specific number of methamphetamine conversion laboratories.
	2024-RIV-27	85	2,263	5	95	During this interception, agents seized various narcotics, including methamphetamine, cocaine, and heroin.
	2024-RIV-28	11	190	17	83	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-29	72	985	13	87	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-30	9	53	11	89	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-31	265	14,813	17	83	During this interception, investigators seized dozens of pounds of methamphetamine and obtained multiple DTO telephone numbers associated with our target subjects.
	2024-RIV-32	13	414	13	87	Agents recovered several pounds of methamphetamine.
	2024-RIV-33	11	327	40	60	Interception was terminated prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-34	176	5,776	11	89	During this interception, investigators seized dozens of pounds of methamphetamine, some heroin, and one or more firearms. Investigators likewise obtained DTO telephone numbers associated with our target subject.
	2024-RIV-35	139	1,976	11	89	During this interception, agents seized several pounds of fentanyl and methamphetamine.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-36	32	700	18	82	The user is a bulk narcotics distributor who routinely utilized the target telephone to coordinate narcotics deals with other target subjects.
	2024-RIV-37	29	772	1	99	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-38	31	640	54	46	During this interception agents seized over 1,000 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2024-RIV-39	2	5	60	40	Interception of the target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-40	36	348	9	91	Interception of the target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-41	76	3,765	10	90	During this interception, investigators seized a substantial amount of methamphetamine and heroin, a specific number of firearms, as well as a large amount of money from illicit narcotics proceeds. Agents also obtained multiple DTO telephone numbers associated with our target subject.
	2024-RIV-42	17	534	26	74	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-43	93	2,169	21	79	During this interception, agents seized over 1,000 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2024-RIV-44	78	1,113	49	51	During this interception, investigators seized several pounds of methamphetamine and DTO telephone numbers associated with our target subject.
	2024-RIV-45	53	665	33	67	During this interception, agents seized several pounds of methamphetamine.
	2024-RIV-46	13	164	8	92	During this interception, investigators seized a large quantity of methamphetamine and received multiple DTO-related telephone numbers.
	2024-RIV-47	18	314	2	98	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-48	136	4,299	12	88	During this interception, investigators identified additional DTO telephone numbers associated with our target subject.
	2024-RIV-49	9	185	10	90	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-50	44	1,819	25	75	During this interception, agents seized numerous kilograms of cocaine, a specific number of firearms, and thousands of dollars in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-51	184	4,254	3	97	There were no significant events to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-52	19	281	40	60	During this interception, agents seized several pounds of methamphetamine.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-53	53	1,545	34	66	This wiretap assisted law enforcement in identifying members of a DTO responsible for transporting and distributing large quantities of methamphetamine within a particular region of California and throughout the United States.
	2024-RIV-54	10	133	8	92	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-55	73	1,636	14	86	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-56	70	2,182	7	93	During this interception, agents confiscated a significant amount of money from illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-57	134	3,134	34	66	During this interception, agents seized a large quantity of cocaine and methamphetamine, several thousand counterfeit fentanyl pills, and a significant amount of money from illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-58	111	7,474	39	61	During this interception, agents seized several kilograms of fentanyl and a significant amount of money from narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-59	12	168	13	87	During this interception, agents seized dozens of pounds of methamphetamine.
	2024-RIV-60	75	1,733	18	82	During this interception, investigators seized a substantial amount of methamphetamine and received multiple DTO telephone numbers associated with our target subject.
	2024-RIV-61	73	1,642	17	83	This wiretap assisted investigators in identifying members of a DTO transporting and distributing large quantities of methamphetamine and cocaine within a particular region of California and throughout the United States.
	2024-RIV-62	162	4,274	22	78	During this interception, investigators seized a significant quantity of fentanyl, a vehicle equipped with a concealed compartment, and a notable sum of money from narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-63	48	2,401	13	87	During this interception, investigators seized a significant amount of methamphetamine.
	2024-RIV-64	35	1,597	51	49	During this interception, agents seized several kilograms of cocaine along with several thousand counterfeit fentanyl pills.
	2024-RIV-65	57	1,475	21	79	During this interception, investigators obtained multiple DTO telephone numbers associated with our target subjects.
	2024-RIV-66	111	3,443	23	77	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-67	25	942	13	87	Interception of the target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-68	261	7,421	8	92	During this interception, agents seized a notable sum of money from illicit narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-69	31	1,340	25	75	During this interception, agents seized several kilograms of cocaine.
	2024-RIV-70	279	9,796	21	79	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-71	151	4,535	19	81	During this interception, although no seizures were directly linked to the phones under the current wiretap order, the intercepted communications led to a subsequent wiretap authorization. This new order facilitated the seizure of a significant amount of methamphetamine, several pounds of fentanyl, numerous firearms, and hundreds of thousands of dollars.
	2024-RIV-72	101	4,655	18	82	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-73	415	33,463	6	94	During this interception, agents seized approximately a large quantity of methamphetamine.
	2024-RIV-74	202	8,246	9	91	During this interception, agents seized thousands of dollars in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-75	30	357	8	92	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-76	85	23,254	1	99	During this interception, agents seized several pounds of methamphetamine.
	2024-RIV-77	362	27,743	17	83	During this interception, investigators confiscated more than twenty-four kilograms of cocaine, thousands of counterfeit M-30 pills containing fentanyl, and a substantial sum of money derived from narcotics trafficking.
	2024-RIV-78	186	3,727	21	79	During this interception, investigators seized more than twenty-four pounds of methamphetamine, several pounds of fentanyl, numerous firearms, and a significant amount of money.
	2024-RIV-79	103	6,658	24	76	Agents seized approximately several pounds of fentanyl powder, hundreds of fentanyl pills, and an illegal firearm.
	2024-RIV-80	58	1,714	10	90	During this interception, investigators seized a substantial amount of methamphetamine.
	2024-RIV-81	116	2,507	13	87	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-82	319	16,598	20	80	During this interception, agents seized at least one firearm and a large amount of money from illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-83	12	31	20	80	
	2024-RIV-84	38	2,442	19	81	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-85	33	687	17	83	During this interception, agents seized dozens of pounds of methamphetamine and several kilograms of heroin.
	2024-RIV-86	152	9,428	12	88	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-87	40	791	27	73	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-88	17	1,664	20	80	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-89	89	1,456	12	88	
	2024-RIV-91	87	5,330	5	95	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-92	290	6,724	3	97	There was no significant activity to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-93	50	1,638	13	87	Agents seized several kilograms of cocaine as a result of this interception.
	2024-RIV-94	394	6,286	7	93	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-95	54	1,602	1	99	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-96	830	948	20	80	
	2024-RIV-98	6	40	18	82	Agents seized over 100 pounds of methamphetamine as a result of this interception.
	2024-RIV-99	26	2,931	1	99	During this interception, agents confiscated several kilograms of cocaine, thousands of counterfeit fentanyl pills, one firearm, and a substantial sum of money from illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-100	26	581	12	88	There were no significant events to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-101	16	43	30	70	
	2024-RIV-102	20	955	29	71	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-103	56	680	2	98	There were no significant events to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-104	241	9,340	5	95	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-105	68	4,257	14	86	There were no significant events to report during the period of this interception.
	2024-RIV-106	34	432	15	85	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-107	159	2,559	11	89	During this interception, agents seized a significant amount of methamphetamine and thousands of dollars in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2024-RIV-108	126	4,464	12	88	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-110	13	274	8	92	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-112	60	1,984	2	98	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-114	42	542	15	85	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-115	68	2,700	6	94	7.4 pounds of black tar heroin and one ghost gun were seized as a result of this wiretap operation.
	2024-RIV-117	88	4,289	8	92	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2024-RIV-120	8	268	68	32	There were no significant events to report during the period of this interception.
San Bernardino	2023-SBD-44	2,731	11,722	9	91	
	2023-SBD-45	89	302	44	56	
	2024-SBD-1	64	8,336	6	94	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of dangerous narcotics prior to their introduction into the community.
	2024-SBD-2	76	2,963	30	70	Dangerous narcotics were seized prior to their introduction into the community.
	2024-SBD-3	433	1,185	17	83	
	2024-SBD-4	793	9,982	1	99	
	2024-SBD-5	275	10,144	1	99	
	2024-SBD-6	427	2,903	6	94	
	2024-SBD-7	74	2,530	10	90	This wiretap led to the interception and seizure of dangerous narcotics prior to their introduction into the community.
	2024-SBD-8	0	0			
	2024-SBD-9	52	773	25	75	
	2024-SBD-10	42	776	9	91	This wiretap resulted in the interception and seizure of dangerous narcotics prior to their introduction into the community.
	2024-SBD-11	1,686	11,519	9	91	
	2024-SBD-12	153	12,427	99	1	Dangerous narcotics were seized prior to their introduction into the community.
	2024-SBD-13	2,501	9,479	4	96	
	2024-SBD-14	450	26,178	1	99	Dangerous narcotics were seized prior to their introduction into the community.
	2024-SBD-15	109	1,181	9	91	
2024-SBD-16	54	577	57	43	This wiretap led to the interception and seizure of dangerous narcotics prior to their introduction into the community.	
2024-SBD-17	1,705	29,099	1	99		

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2024-SBD-18	355	18,954	1	99	
	2024-SBD-19	51	229	24	76	
	2024-SBD-20	244	11,505	1	99	
	2024-SBD-21	197	3,973	1	99	
	2024-SBD-22	191	1,376	5	95	Dangerous narcotics were seized prior to their introduction into the community.
	2024-SBD-23	234	7,076	1	99	
San Diego	2024-SD-1	70	2,175	11	89	The initial directive was issued to gather intelligence on a target believed to be planning a violent act. Through monitoring, it was revealed that the individual had relocated to evade conflict linked to his affiliations and expressed intentions to commit violence, but later abandoned these plans. Intercepted communications also provided contextual information regarding subjects involved with narcotics and violence in a particular region of California as well as other locations throughout the United States. The authorization also included an Instagram account; however, the account was deleted by the provider before any data could be collected.
	2024-SD-2	560	49,209	10	90	Intercepted communications resulted in the seizure of cocaine and a firearm, while also shedding light on conspiracies involving extortion and robbery. Additional intelligence from the wiretap facilitated a residential search warrant, where further quantities of cocaine and another firearm were seized. This action followed an armed robbery incident and resulted in the arrest of multiple individuals. The ongoing investigation, which involves the use of wiretaps and confidential informants, is still on-going. It is anticipated that several individuals will be arrested on charges related to drug and firearms trafficking, armed robberies, extortion, and conspiracy to commit murder, the majority of which being for the benefit of a criminal street gang.
	2024-SD-3	40	1,121	17	83	Circumstantial evidence was collected through conversations among the targets, discussing case-specific details and motives related to a homicide.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Francisco	2024-SF-1	1,574	21,666	3	97	This wiretap targeted one primary suspect in a murder and numerous other attempted murders, armed robberies, and firearm offenses. The wiretap also provided valuable insight into the primary target's gang affiliation and motivation. It also led to solving and prosecuting a second murder that the primary target was not suspected of prior to the initiation of the wiretap. Ultimately, this wiretap netted valuable evidence that will be utilized during prosecution.
	2024-SF-2	1,258	12,347	2	98	Instagram communications were intercepted between the primary target and a rival gang member. Due to the threatening nature of the communications, an application was authored to expand the wiretap to include two additional phone lines and three additional Instagram accounts. This wiretap resulted in multiple arrests and the seizure of numerous firearms.
	2024-SF-3	1	1	0	100	No significant seizures were made during the period of this interception.
	2024-SF-4	15	77	4	96	During this operation, investigators intercepted communications related to illegal activities such as arms trafficking, narcotic sales, robberies, and gang activity. The operation led to the arrest of various individuals and the confiscation of several firearms, including specialized weaponry and related equipment. Additionally, investigators seized an assortment of ammunition. Subsequently, charges were brought against an individual for multiple violent and firearms-related offenses.
San Joaquin	2024-SJ-7	5,552	190,005	4	96	See 2024-SJ-20
	2024-SJ-8	141	5,614	4	96	See 2024-SJ-20
	2024-SJ-9	494	10,314	9	91	See 2024-SJ-20
	2024-SJ-10	865	27,805	10	90	See 2024-SJ-20
	2024-SJ-11	292	12,381	7	93	See 2024-SJ-20
	2024-SJ-12	367	8,250	4	96	See 2024-SJ-20
	2024-SJ-13	572	2,135	5	95	See 2024-SJ-20
	2024-SJ-14	676	38,374	10	90	See 2024-SJ-20
	2024-SJ-15	314	18,152	7	93	See 2024-SJ-20
	2024-SJ-16	767	17,156	6	94	See 2024-SJ-20
	2024-SJ-17	158	2,797	1	99	See 2024-SJ-20
	2024-SJ-18	1,109	24,586	2	98	See 2024-SJ-20
	2024-SJ-19	1	1	0	100	See 2024-SJ-20

Table 4

Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2024-SJ-20	33	110	6	94	Authorities initiated surveillance on numerous mobile devices and digital accounts. During the investigation, investigators intercepted communications related to weapon and drug trafficking, robberies, human trafficking, and gang activity, and successfully prevented a violent incident. The operation resulted in multiple arrests, the confiscation of several firearms, a significant amount of currency, and various narcotics.
San Luis Obispo	2024-SLO-1	253	5,354	14	86	Intercepted electronic communications led to the interdiction and seizure of several pounds of methamphetamine. These electronic communications were made as part of an overall conspiracy designed to financially benefit a criminal street gang.
Santa Clara	2024-SCL-1	233	5,093	3	97	See 2024-SCL-3
	2024-SCL-2	0	0	0	0	No interceptions were made during the period of this wiretap.
	2024-SCL-3	182	5,166	1	99	Several years ago, an individual was fatally shot multiple times while sitting in a vehicle. The case remained unsolved until earlier this year when law enforcement resorted to electronic interception. As part of the renewed efforts, investigators drafted multiple wiretap affidavits, targeting several mobile phones and social media accounts. These intercepts revealed communications pertinent to the case, leading to the arrest of multiple suspects.
Ventura	2024-VE-1	508	5,044	18	82	This investigation targeted a major DTO within a specific region of California. Detectives carried out search warrants, resulting in the confiscation of large quantities of various drugs including methamphetamine, cocaine, fentanyl, heroin, and LSD, alongside a significant sum of money and a loaded firearm, leading to the arrest of two individuals. Subsequently, additional warrants were executed, leading to the seizure of even greater amounts of cocaine, fentanyl, numerous marijuana plants, several firearms, and additional currency, with multiple suspects arrested.
	2024-VE-2	446	38,653	10	90	This investigation involved a criminal street gang from a particular region in California. During the investigation, various gang members were arrested for crimes ranging from vandalism, shootings, and murder. In addition to these arrests, detectives located several firearms during the investigation.
	2024-VE-3	625	36,473	9	91	

Table 4

Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Ventura (cont'd)	2024-VE-4	7	50	0	100	This wiretap was used in a cold case homicide investigation. However, the wiretap was discontinued early as it ceased to yield further relevant information.
	2024-VE-5	421	16,442	5	95	This wiretap resulted in the arrest of multiple individuals and the seizure of several pounds of methamphetamine, cocaine, numerous firearms, and a significant amount of currency.
	2024-VE-6	328	12,669	14	86	This wiretap investigation was essential in dismantling a large DTO operating in a specific region of California. Throughout this investigation, detectives seized a large quantity of methamphetamine, fentanyl, several firearms, and a large sum of money. Multiple people were also arrested as a result of this wiretap.
	2024-VE-7	558	27,834	8	92	During this investigation, detectives dismantled a large DTO operating within a specific region of California, resulting in the confiscation of large quantities of various drugs including methamphetamine and fentanyl, alongside several firearms and a significant sum of money. Several people were arrested as a result of this wiretap.
	2024-VE-8	877	31,933	3	97	During the investigation, investigators deployed surveillance and enforcement units. Utilizing both intercepted communications and physical surveillance, investigators learned that a separate faction was supplying the target subjects with controlled substances. In total, investigators seized dozens of pounds of methamphetamine, a large quantity of ecstasy pills, multiple firearms, and a significant amount of currency.
	2024-VE-9	978	26,046	5	95	Law enforcement arrested several targeted individuals. This operation likewise led to the seizure of a significant quantity of methamphetamine and numerous rounds of ammunition. Intercepted communications indicated potential violent activities, prompting swift law enforcement action to prevent these actions, potentially saving lives. The insights gained from this operation have paved the way for a subsequent wiretap authorization.
	2024-VE-10	234	9,652	0	100	
	2024-VE-11	351	6,224	17	83	During this investigation, detectives seized several pounds of methamphetamine, heroin, and multiple firearms. This investigation is still active.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No. ⁴	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁵
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Ventura (cont'd)	2024-VE-12	738	23,383	6	94	This wiretap resulted in multiple arrests and seizures. Law enforcement arrested an individual linked to narcotics distribution with a quantity of cocaine, firearms, and evidence of drug trafficking. Additionally, two individuals were arrested on charges related to firearms. Toward the end of the investigation, an incident involving one of the targets provided detectives with leads on a potential suspect.

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Alameda	2024-ALA-1	Emmett, Detective	Pamela Price	Hon. Thomas Stevens	10/23/2024	12/6/2024	10/26/2024	65
	2024-ALA-2	Emmett, Detective	Pamela Price	Hon. Thomas Stevens	10/23/2024	12/6/2024	10/26/2024	See 2024-ALA-1
	2024-ALA-3	Emmett, Detective	Pamela Price	Hon. Thomas Stevens	10/23/2024	12/6/2024	10/26/2024	See 2024-ALA-1
Fresno	2024-FR-1	Arellano, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart	8/15/2024	8/15/2024	10/22/2024	245
	2024-FR-2	Arellano, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart	8/20/2024	8/20/2024	10/22/2024	21
	2024-FR-3	Arellano, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart	8/22/2024	8/22/2024	10/22/2024	11
	2024-FR-4	Arellano, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart	8/24/2024	8/24/2024	10/22/2024	11
	2024-FR-5	Arellano, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart	9/6/2024	9/6/2024	10/22/2024	40
	2024-FR-6	Arellano, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart	8/15/2024	8/15/2024	10/22/2024	11
	2024-FR-7	Arellano, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart	8/18/2024	8/18/2024	10/22/2024	21
	2024-FR-8	Arellano, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart	8/29/2024	8/29/2024	10/22/2024	17
	2024-FR-9	Diaz, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart	10/3/2024	10/3/2024	10/18/2024	77
	2024-FR-10	Diaz, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart	10/3/2024	10/3/2024	10/18/2024	0
	2024-FR-11	Diaz, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart	10/3/2024	10/3/2024	10/18/2024	48
	2024-FR-12	Diaz, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart	10/3/2024	10/3/2024	10/18/2024	25
	2024-FR-13	Sharp, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart		2/4/2025		
	2024-FR-14	Sharp, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart		1/18/2025		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Fresno (cont'd)	2024-FR-15	Sharp, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Michael Idiart		2/5/2025		
Kings	2024-KI-1	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	81
	2024-KI-2	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	319
	2024-KI-3	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	48
	2024-KI-4	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	0
	2024-KI-5	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	152
	2024-KI-6	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	133
	2024-KI-7	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	143
	2024-KI-8	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	5
	2024-KI-9	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	105
	2024-KI-10	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	109
	2024-KI-11	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	21
	2024-KI-12	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	23
	2024-KI-13	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	16
	2024-KI-14	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	104
	2024-KI-15	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	20
	2024-KI-16	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	201

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Kings (cont'd)	2024-KI-17	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	95
	2024-KI-18	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	274
	2024-KI-19	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	149
	2024-KI-20	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	66
	2024-KI-21	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	236
	2024-KI-22	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	8
	2024-KI-23	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	47
	2024-KI-24	Rodriguez, MCTF Investigator	DA Sarah Hacker	Hon. Melissa D'Morias	11/14/2024	2/11/2025	2/1/2025	17
Los Angeles	2024-LA-1	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/27/2024	2/11/2025		
	2024-LA-2	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/2/2024	3/8/2024	3/28/2024	5
	2024-LA-3	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/8/2024	7/2/2024	7/15/2024	1
	2024-LA-4	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/14/2024	2/11/2025		
	2024-LA-5	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/8/2024	2/11/2025		
	2024-LA-6	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/10/2024	4/25/2024	4/29/2024	1
	2024-LA-7	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/2/2024	4/2/2024	4/12/2024	2
	2024-LA-8	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/6/2024	4/6/2024	4/12/2024	1
	2024-LA-9	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/5/2024	3/12/2024	3/28/2024	3

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-10	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/10/2024	1/9/2025		
	2024-LA-11	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/20/2024	1/14/2025		
	2024-LA-12	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/11/2024	4/3/2024	4/12/2024	3
	2024-LA-13	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/17/2024	6/5/2024	7/8/2024	1
	2024-LA-14	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/24/2024	1/14/2025		
	2024-LA-15	Lujan, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/9/2024	7/30/2024	8/22/2024	216
	2024-LA-16	Lujan, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/20/2024	7/30/2024	8/22/2024	216
	2024-LA-17	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/7/2024	4/23/2024	4/29/2024	3
	2024-LA-18	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/12/2024	3/12/2024	3/28/2024	6
	2024-LA-19	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/10/2024	4/23/2024	4/29/2024	2
	2024-LA-20	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/7/2024	6/10/2024	7/8/2024	5
	2024-LA-21	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/10/2024	4/23/2024	4/23/2024	0
	2024-LA-22	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/10/2024	5/8/2024	6/4/2024	4
	2024-LA-23	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/5/2024	6/20/2024	7/8/2024	1
	2024-LA-24	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/2/2024	4/25/2024	4/25/2024	0
	2024-LA-25	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/4/2024	6/20/2024	7/8/2024	4
	2024-LA-26	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/12/2024	6/27/2024	7/8/2024	4

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-27	Munoz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/13/2024	1/9/2025		
	2024-LA-28	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/20/2024	3/6/2025		
	2024-LA-29	Oropeza, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/1/2024	3/30/2025		
	2024-LA-30	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/11/2024	7/5/2024	7/15/2024	5
	2024-LA-31	Oropeza, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/16/2024	3/30/2025		
	2024-LA-32	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/28/2024	6/20/2024	7/8/2024	5
	2024-LA-33	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/19/2024	6/27/2024	7/8/2024	1
	2024-LA-34	Gonzales, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/31/2024	3/30/2025		
	2024-LA-35	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/27/2024	6/27/2024	7/8/2024	5
	2024-LA-36	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/2/2024	10/1/2024	10/3/2024	3
	2024-LA-37	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/20/2024	10/1/2024	10/3/2024	4
	2024-LA-38	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/1/2024	10/1/2024	10/3/2024	3
	2024-LA-39	Gonzales, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/31/2024	3/30/2025		
	2024-LA-40	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/1/2024	10/1/2024	10/3/2024	6
	2024-LA-41	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/2/2024	1/14/2025		
	2024-LA-42	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/14/2024	8/26/2024	9/26/2024	4
	2024-LA-43	Gonzales, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/20/2024	3/30/2025		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-44	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/23/2024	8/26/2024	8/26/2024	0
	2024-LA-45	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/5/2024	8/26/2024	9/26/2024	6
	2024-LA-46	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/14/2024	8/26/2024	9/26/2024	2
	2024-LA-47	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/28/2024	10/21/2024	10/24/2024	4
	2024-LA-48	Oropeza, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/2/2024	3/30/2025		
	2024-LA-49	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/28/2024	10/8/2024	10/31/2024	4
	2024-LA-50	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/12/2024	1/14/2025		
	2024-LA-51	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/14/2024	1/14/2025		
	2024-LA-52	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/22/2024	2/11/2025		
	2024-LA-53	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/25/2024	1/14/2025		
	2024-LA-54	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/6/2024	1/14/2025		
	2024-LA-55	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/2/2024	2/11/2025		
	2024-LA-56	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/25/2024	2/11/2025		
	2024-LA-57	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/29/2024	2/11/2025		
	2024-LA-58	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/15/2024	2/11/2025		
	2024-LA-59	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/5/2024	2/11/2025		
	2024-LA-60	Montes, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/28/2024	11/6/2024	10/31/2024	5

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-61	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/14/2024	2/11/2025		
	2024-LA-62	Saldana, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/19/2024	3/30/2025		
	2024-LA-63	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/25/2024	8/26/2024	9/26/2024	5
	2024-LA-64	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/15/2024	11/22/2024	12/9/2024	39
	2024-LA-65	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/28/2024	10/23/2024	10/31/2024	5
	2024-LA-66	Saldana, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/23/2024	3/30/2025		
	2024-LA-67	Galdamez, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/9/2024	10/2/2024	10/2/2024	0
	2024-LA-68	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/26/2024	10/21/2024	10/24/2024	4
	2024-LA-69	Richardson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/7/2024	2/11/2025		
	2024-LA-70	Avila, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/19/2024	3/30/2025		
	2024-LA-71	Saldana, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/20/2024	3/30/2025		
	2024-LA-72	Montes, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/7/2024	12/13/2024	12/20/2024	4
	2024-LA-73	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/16/2024	12/13/2024	12/20/2024	4
	2024-LA-74	Montes, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/29/2024	12/13/2024	12/20/2024	3
	2024-LA-75	Montes, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/8/2024	12/13/2024	12/20/2024	2
	2024-LA-76	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/12/2024	1/14/2025		
	2024-LA-77	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/15/2024	7/24/2024	8/13/2024	1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-78	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/24/2024	1/14/2025		
	2024-LA-79	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/26/2024	7/24/2024	8/13/2024	2
	2024-LA-80	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/1/2024	1/14/2025		
	2024-LA-81	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/25/2024	3/6/2025		
	2024-LA-82	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/5/2024	2/5/2025		
	2024-LA-83	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/8/2024	1/14/2025		
	2024-LA-84	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/24/2024	1/14/2025		
	2024-LA-85	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/10/2024	3/6/2025		
	2024-LA-86	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/7/2024	3/6/2025		
	2024-LA-87	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/29/2024	7/24/2024	7/24/2024	0
	2024-LA-88	Montes, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/28/2024	10/28/2024	10/31/2024	4
	2024-LA-89	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/21/2024	3/30/2025		
	2024-LA-90	Montes, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/11/2025			
	2024-LA-91	Ortiz, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/23/2025			
	2024-LA-92	Montes, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/4/2025			
	2024-LA-93	Ortiz, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/11/2025			
	2024-LA-94	Montes, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/15/2025			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-95	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/3/2024	8/7/2024	8/13/2024	2
	2024-LA-96	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/13/2024	3/30/2025		
	2024-LA-97	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/25/2024	3/30/2025		
	2024-LA-98	Bobadilla, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/18/2024	10/23/2024	10/24/2024	84
	2024-LA-99	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/6/2024	3/30/2025		
	2024-LA-100	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/9/2025			
	2024-LA-101	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/23/2025			
	2024-LA-102	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/19/2024			
	2024-LA-103	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/28/2025			
	2024-LA-104	Ortiz, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/18/2025			
	2024-LA-105	Ortiz, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/28/2025			
	2024-LA-106	Ortiz, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/12/2025			
	2024-LA-107	Ortiz, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/25/2025			
	2024-LA-108	Rothrock, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/2/2025			
	2024-LA-109	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/9/2025			
	2024-LA-110	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/9/2025			
	2024-LA-111	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/19/2024	3/30/2025		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-112	Montes, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/28/2025			
	2024-LA-113	Montes, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/8/2025			
	2024-LA-114	Montes, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/15/2025			
	2024-LA-115	Galdamez, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/17/2025			
	2024-LA-116	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2025			
	2024-LA-117	Lopez, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/17/2025			
	2024-LA-118	Montes, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/22/2025			
	2024-LA-119	Montes, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/21/2025			
	2024-LA-120	Montes, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/21/2025			
Merced	2024-MER-1	Kollmann, Detective	Nicole Silveira	Hon. Honorable Jennifer Trimble	6/29/2024	8/24/2024	7/17/2024	753
	2024-MER-2	Bucio, Task Force Agent	Nicole Silveira	Hon. Honorable Jennifer Trimble	6/29/2024	8/28/2024	7/17/2024	See 2024-MER-1
	2024-MER-3	Kurtz, Special Agent	Nicole Silveira	Hon. Honorable Jennifer Trimble	5/24/2024	7/23/2024	7/17/2024	See 2024-MER-1
	2025-MER-1	Hoover, Special Agent	Nicole Silveira	Hon. Honorable Jennifer Trimble	5/26/2024	7/25/2025	7/17/2024	See 2024-MER-1
	2025-MER-2	Kurtz, Special Agent	Nicole Silveira	Hon. Honorable Jennifer Trimble	6/29/2024	8/28/2024	7/17/2024	See 2024-MER-1
	2025-MER-3	Hoover, Special Agent	Nicole Silveira	Hon. Honorable Jennifer Trimble	6/7/2024	8/6/2024	7/17/2024	See 2024-MER-1
	2025-MER-4	Hoover, Special Agent	Nicole Silveira	Hon. Honorable Jennifer Trimble	6/29/2024	8/28/2024	7/17/2024	See 2024-MER-1
	2025-MER-5	Kurtz, Special Agent	Nicole Silveira	Hon. Honorable Jennifer Trimble	6/28/2024	8/27/2024	7/17/2024	See 2024-MER-1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Merced (cont'd)	2025-MER-6	Kurtz, Special Agent	Nicole Silveira	Hon. Honorable Jennifer Trimble	6/29/2024	8/28/2024	7/17/2024	See 2024-MER-1
	2025-MER-7	Rivera, Special Agent	Nicole Silveira	Hon. Honorable Jennifer Trimble	6/29/2024	8/28/2024	7/17/2024	See 2024-MER-1
Orange	2024-OR-1	Wyatt, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Lance P. Jensen	8/8/2024	2/4/2025		0
	2024-OR-2	Wyatt, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Lance P. Jensen	8/8/2024	2/4/2025		0
Riverside	2023-RIV-87	Angulo, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/23/2024	5/19/2024	4/9/2024	35
	2023-RIV-91	Angulo, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/12/2024	4/4/2024	4/1/2024	20
	2023-RIV-112	Quinones, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/15/2024	6/8/2024	6/7/2024	27
	2023-RIV-114	Holmes, Investigator	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/12/2024	4/3/2024	4/2/2024	7
	2023-RIV-117	Angulo, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/12/2024	4/5/2024	4/1/2024	19
	2023-RIV-118	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/8/2024	6/1/2024	5/24/2024	27
	2023-RIV-119	Holmes, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/12/2024	4/3/2024	4/2/2024	17
	2023-RIV-120	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/19/2024	7/12/2024	6/26/2024	6
	2023-RIV-121	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/22/2024	3/11/2025		
	2023-RIV-122	Holmes, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	1/12/2024	4/8/2024	4/2/2024	4
	2023-RIV-123	Angulo, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/23/2024	5/17/2024	4/9/2024	4
	2023-RIV-124	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/23/2024	5/18/2024	5/10/2024	5
	2023-RIV-125	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/29/2024	6/22/2024	6/18/2024	6

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2023-RIV-126	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/1/2024	5/25/2024	5/16/2024	1
	2023-RIV-127	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/23/2024	2/12/2025		
	2023-RIV-128	Ricard, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/23/2024	8/16/2024	8/14/2024	165
	2024-RIV-1	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/4/2024	6/29/2024	6/25/2024	20
	2024-RIV-2	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	2/15/2024	2/5/2025		
	2024-RIV-3	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Charles J. Koosed	2/23/2024	5/18/2024	5/10/2024	1
	2024-RIV-4	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Charles J. Koosed	3/22/2024	3/11/2025		
	2024-RIV-5	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/25/2024	7/20/2024	7/3/2024	17
	2024-RIV-6	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/4/2024	3/26/2025		
	2024-RIV-7	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/23/2024	8/16/2024	8/9/2024	54
	2024-RIV-8	Quesada, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/18/2024	10/13/2024	7/15/2024	55
	2024-RIV-9	Ricard, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/8/2024	6/1/2024	5/31/2024	19
	2024-RIV-10	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/15/2024	6/8/2024	6/7/2024	10
	2024-RIV-11	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/25/2024	1/18/2025		
	2024-RIV-12	Chavez, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/22/2024	9/11/2024	7/12/2024	13
	2024-RIV-13	Ricard, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/22/2024	6/14/2024	5/13/2024	17
	2024-RIV-14	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	3/22/2024	6/14/2024	6/13/2024	1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-15	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/16/2024	2/5/2025		
	2024-RIV-16	Quesada, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/25/2024	10/18/2024	7/15/2024	35
	2024-RIV-17	Chavez, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/23/2024	8/15/2024	7/2/2024	59
	2024-RIV-18	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/18/2024	3/12/2025		
	2024-RIV-19	Ricard, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/25/2024	7/20/2024	5/30/2024	27
	2024-RIV-20	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/23/2024	11/14/2024	11/13/2024	25
	2024-RIV-21	Quesada, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/3/2024	9/27/2024	8/12/2024	92
	2024-RIV-22	Carroll, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/11/2024	4/2/2025		
	2024-RIV-23	Quinones, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/1/2024	1/23/2025		
	2024-RIV-24	Ricard, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/11/2024	7/6/2024	5/31/2024	17
	2024-RIV-25	Chavez, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/9/2024	8/1/2024	6/19/2024	69
	2024-RIV-26	Ricard, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/18/2024	7/13/2024	6/17/2024	15
	2024-RIV-27	Chavez, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/2/2024	7/29/2024	6/24/2024	48
	2024-RIV-28	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/18/2024	7/13/2024	6/26/2024	2
	2024-RIV-29	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/23/2024	2/12/2025		
	2024-RIV-30	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/25/2024	7/20/2024	6/26/2024	3
	2024-RIV-31	Quesada, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/10/2024	10/4/2024	8/22/2024	145

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-32	Ricard, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	4/25/2024	7/20/2024	7/19/2024	21
	2024-RIV-33	Chavez, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/9/2024	8/5/2024	6/26/2024	1
	2024-RIV-34	Quesada, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/6/2024	8/30/2024	8/7/2024	117
	2024-RIV-35	Chavez, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/30/2024	8/26/2024	7/10/2024	79
	2024-RIV-36	Ricard, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/9/2024	8/2/2024	7/22/2024	8
	2024-RIV-37	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/9/2024	1/29/2025		
	2024-RIV-38	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/6/2024	8/30/2024	8/29/2024	15
	2024-RIV-39	Chavez, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/2/2024	7/29/2024	6/26/2024	1
	2024-RIV-40	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/16/2024	8/9/2024	8/2/2024	19
	2024-RIV-41	Quesada, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/13/2024	9/6/2024	8/7/2024	35
	2024-RIV-42	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/16/2024	8/9/2024	8/2/2024	15
	2024-RIV-43	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/23/2024	8/16/2024	8/9/2024	57
	2024-RIV-44	Quesada, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/6/2024	8/29/2024	7/15/2024	34
	2024-RIV-45	Chavez, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/30/2024	8/26/2024	7/10/2024	25
	2024-RIV-46	Quesada, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/23/2024	8/18/2024	6/27/2024	8
	2024-RIV-47	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/30/2024	8/23/2024	8/23/2024	4
	2024-RIV-48	Quesada, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/6/2024	8/30/2024	8/7/2024	91

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-49	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/6/2024	8/30/2024	8/29/2024	6
	2024-RIV-50	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/10/2024	10/4/2024	10/3/2024	29
	2024-RIV-51	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/10/2024	4/2/2025		
	2024-RIV-52	Chavez, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	5/30/2024	8/26/2024	7/10/2024	7
	2024-RIV-53	Gelles, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/18/2024	3/11/2025		
	2024-RIV-54	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	6/18/2024	9/13/2024	8/29/2024	12
	2024-RIV-55	Mitchell, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/18/2024	4/9/2025		
	2024-RIV-56	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/18/2024	4/9/2025		
	2024-RIV-57	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/18/2024	4/9/2025		
	2024-RIV-58	Mitchell, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/18/2024	4/9/2025		
	2024-RIV-59	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/3/2024	9/27/2024	9/26/2024	5
	2024-RIV-60	Quesada, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/3/2024	9/27/2024	8/7/2024	33
	2024-RIV-61	Gelles, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/3/2024	3/26/2025		
	2024-RIV-62	Mitchell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/6/2024	2/27/2025		
	2024-RIV-63	Mitchell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/6/2024	2/27/2025		
	2024-RIV-64	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/4/2024	3/28/2025		
	2024-RIV-65	Quesada, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/18/2024	10/11/2024	8/22/2024	33

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-66	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/18/2024	4/9/2025		
	2024-RIV-67	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	7/18/2024	4/9/2025		
	2024-RIV-68	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/30/2024	11/22/2024	11/13/2024	135
	2024-RIV-69	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/6/2024	2/22/2025		
	2024-RIV-70	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/6/2024	2/22/2025		
	2024-RIV-71	Carroll, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/13/2024	3/8/2025		
	2024-RIV-72	Quinones, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/15/2024	2/8/2025		
	2024-RIV-73	Quinones, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/12/2024	3/8/2025		
	2024-RIV-74	Carroll, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/17/2024	4/11/2025		
	2024-RIV-75	Mitchell, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	8/23/2024	2/13/2025		
	2024-RIV-76	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/25/2024	1/18/2025		
	2024-RIV-77	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/19/2024	3/15/2025		
	2024-RIV-78	Mitchell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/26/2024	3/21/2025		
	2024-RIV-79	Salazar, Deputy	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/4/2024	12/28/2024	12/10/2024	89
	2024-RIV-80	Mitchell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/6/2024	2/27/2025		
	2024-RIV-81	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/4/2024	12/28/2024	12/20/2024	13
	2024-RIV-82	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/27/2024	3/22/2025		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-83	Sanchez, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/6/2024	11/29/2024	11/19/2024	5
	2024-RIV-84	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/8/2024	2/1/2025		
	2024-RIV-85	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	9/13/2024	12/7/2024	12/6/2024	21
	2024-RIV-86	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/27/2024	3/22/2025		
	2024-RIV-87	Mitchell, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/17/2024	4/11/2025		
	2024-RIV-88	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/15/2024	2/8/2025		
	2024-RIV-89	Sanchez, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/13/2024	3/8/2025		
	2024-RIV-91	Salazar, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/25/2024	1/18/2025		
	2024-RIV-92	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/19/2024	3/15/2025		
	2024-RIV-93	Quinones, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/1/2024	1/24/2025		
	2024-RIV-94	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/27/2024	3/22/2025		
	2024-RIV-95	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/11/2024	4/6/2025		
	2024-RIV-96	Sanchez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/11/2024	1/4/2025	12/30/2024	66
	2024-RIV-98	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/17/2024	4/11/2025		
	2024-RIV-99	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/12/2024	3/8/2025		
	2024-RIV-100	Quinones, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/19/2024	3/15/2025		
	2024-RIV-101	Sanchez, Investigator	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	10/25/2024	1/18/2025		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-102	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/1/2024	1/24/2025		
	2024-RIV-103	Mitchell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/1/2024	1/24/2025		
	2024-RIV-104	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/27/2024	3/22/2025		
	2024-RIV-105	Mitchell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/8/2024	2/1/2025		
	2024-RIV-106	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/6/2024	3/1/2025		
	2024-RIV-107	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/12/2024	3/8/2025		
	2024-RIV-108	Sanchez, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/13/2024	3/8/2025		
	2024-RIV-110	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/12/2024	3/8/2025		
	2024-RIV-112	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	11/22/2024	2/14/2025		
	2024-RIV-114	Quinones, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/6/2024	2/22/2025		
	2024-RIV-115	Cook, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/27/2024	3/22/2025		
	2024-RIV-117	Carroll, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/27/2024	3/22/2025		
	2024-RIV-120	Quinones, Task Force Officer	John Aki	Hon. Timothy J. Hollenhorst	12/19/2024	3/15/2025		
San Bernardino	2023-SBD-44	Brandt, Deputy	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	11/20/2024	5/6/2024	11/20/2024	194
	2023-SBD-45	Brandt, Deputy	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	11/20/2024	4/15/2024	11/20/2024	24

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2024-SBD-1	Mcgee, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	7/30/2024	10/27/2024	10/23/2024	17
	2024-SBD-2	Mcgee, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	4/11/2024	6/30/2024	6/27/2024	1
	2024-SBD-3	Moreno, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	4/10/2024	6/29/2024	12/19/2024	9
	2024-SBD-4	Page, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	1/2/2025			
	2024-SBD-5	Page, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	1/2/2025			
	2024-SBD-6	Sanchez, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	4/10/2024	6/29/2024	12/19/2024	18
	2024-SBD-7	Iv, Investigator	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	5/21/2024	8/18/2024	7/17/2024	1
	2024-SBD-8	Smith, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	Never Installed	Never Installed	Never Installed	Never Installed
	2024-SBD-9	Brandt, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	4/24/2024	7/22/2024	12/19/2024	10
	2024-SBD-10	Mcgee, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	5/21/2024	8/18/2024	6/25/2024	1
	2024-SBD-11	Moreno, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	1/2/2025			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2024-SBD-12	Mcgee, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	7/17/2024	10/14/2024	10/10/2024	0
	2024-SBD-13	Moreno, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	1/2/2025			
	2024-SBD-14	Babineaux, Officer	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	7/24/2024	10/21/2024	10/2/2024	2
	2024-SBD-15	Moreno, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	1/2/2025			
	2024-SBD-16	Collas, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	10/10/2024	1/7/2025	1/2/2025	7
	2024-SBD-17	Bean, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	12/17/2024	3/16/2025		
	2024-SBD-18	Bean, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	11/21/2024	2/18/2025		
	2024-SBD-19	Babineaux, Officer	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	11/14/2024	2/9/2025	1/2/2025	1
	2024-SBD-20	Geerlings, Deputy Sheriff	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	11/27/2024	2/24/2025		
	2024-SBD-21	Bean, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	11/21/2024	2/18/2025		
	2024-SBD-22	Tsang, Officer	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	12/17/2024	3/25/2025	1/2/2025	3

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2024-SBD-23	Smith, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell IV	12/26/2024	3/25/2025		
San Diego	2024-SD-1	Williams, Detective	Summer Stephan	Hon. Theodore Weathers	12/2/2024	6/2/2025		
	2024-SD-2	Williams, Detective	Summer Stephan	Hon. Roderick Shelton	2/26/2025			
	2024-SD-3	Blumenshine, Detective	Summer Stephan	Hon. Theodore Weathers	3/12/2025			
San Francisco	2024-SF-1	Burke, Officer	Brooke Jenkins	Hon. Eric Fleming	8/7/2024	8/19/2024	8/16/2024	327
	2024-SF-2	Burke, Officer	Brooke Jenkins	Hon. Eric Fleming	8/7/2024	8/19/2024	8/16/2024	See 2024-SF-1
	2024-SF-3	Burke, Officer	Brooke Jenkins	Hon. Eric Fleming	8/7/2024	8/19/2024	8/16/2024	See 2024-SF1
	2024-SF-4	Burke, Officer	Brooke Jenkins	Hon. Eric Fleming	8/7/2024	8/19/2024	8/16/2024	See 2024-SF-1
San Joaquin	2024-SJ-7	Afanasiev, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/9/2025	1/22/2025		
	2024-SJ-8	Guillen, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/9/2025	1/22/2025		
	2024-SJ-9	Afanasiev, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/9/2025	1/22/2025		
	2024-SJ-10	Griffin, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/9/2025	1/22/2025		
	2024-SJ-11	Afanasiev, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/9/2025	1/22/2025		
	2024-SJ-12	Griffin, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/9/2025	1/22/2025		
	2024-SJ-13	Afanasiev, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/9/2025	1/22/2025		
	2024-SJ-14	Griffin, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/9/2025	1/22/2025		
	2024-SJ-15	Afanasiev, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/9/2025	1/22/2025		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2024-SJ-16	Afanasiev, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/9/2025	1/22/2025		
	2024-SJ-17	Afanasiev, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/9/2025	1/22/2025		
	2024-SJ-18	Afanasiev, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/9/2025	1/22/2025		
	2024-SJ-19	Afanasiev, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/9/2025	1/22/2025		
	2024-SJ-20	Griffin, Detective	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Seth Hoyt	1/9/2025	1/23/2025		
San Luis Obispo	2024-SLO-1	Bier-stanberry, DA Investigator	Eric J. Dobroth, ADA	Hon. Honorable Jesse Marino	5/14/2024	7/29/2024	7/29/2024	250
Santa Clara	2024-SCL-1	Brande, Detective	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Brian Buckelew		11/11/2024	10/31/24	See 2024-SCL-3
	2024-SCL-2	Brande, Detective	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Brian Buckelew		11/11/2024	10/31/24	0
	2024-SCL-3	Brande, Detective	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Brian Buckelew		11/11/2024	10/31/2024	113
Ventura	2024-VE-1	Contreras, Deputy	Miles Weiss	Hon. Anthony Sabo	4/25/2024	5/5/2024	4/25/2024	258
	2024-VE-2	Eckman, Officer	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. Anthony Sabo	3/3/2025	3/3/2025		
	2024-VE-3	Torres, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. Anthony Sabo	3/3/2025	3/3/2025		
	2024-VE-4	Hernandez, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. Kristi Peariso	6/10/2024	6/25/2024	6/10/2024	7
	2024-VE-5	Torres, Deputy	Miles Weiss	Hon. Anthony Sabo	3/3/2025	3/3/2025		
	2024-VE-6	Woodward, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. Anthony Sabo	11/15/2024	11/21/2024	11/15/2024	403
	2024-VE-7	Woodward, Deputy	Miles Weiss	Hon. Anthony Sabo	11/15/2024	11/21/2024	11/15/2024	See 2024-VE-6
	2024-VE-8	Eckman, Detective	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. Anthony Sabo	3/3/2025	3/3/2025		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Inventory required to be served (including extensions)	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Ventura (cont'd)	2024-VE-9	Eckman, Detective	Lisa o. Lytikainen	Hon. Anthony Sabo	3/3/2025	3/3/2025		
	2024-VE-10	Aguilar, Officer	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. Anthony Sabo	2/24/2025	2/24/2025		
	2024-VE-11	Contreras, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. Anthony Sabo	3/7/2025	3/7/2025		
	2024-VE-12	Eckman, Detective	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. Paul Feldman	3/20/2025	3/20/2025		

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Alameda	2024-ALA-1	See 2024-ALA-3			
	2024-ALA-2	See 2024-ALA-3			
	2024-ALA-3	2 sergeants, 7 officers/detectives, 1 Special Agent Supervisor from the California Department of Justice, 2 officers from the California Highway Patrol, and 1 investigator	16,687	1,860	18,547
Alameda Total			16,687	1,860	18,547
Fresno	2024-FR-1	2 case agents, 2 sergeants, 1 transcriptionist, 1 analyst, 24 monitors	199,252	12,000	211,252
	2024-FR-2	See 2024-FR-1			
	2024-FR-3	See 2024-FR-1			
	2024-FR-4	See 2024-FR-1			
	2024-FR-5	See 2024-FR-1			
	2024-FR-6	See 2024-FR-1			
	2024-FR-7	See 2024-FR-1			
	2024-FR-8	See 2024-FR-1			
	2024-FR-9	1 lieutenant, 2 sergeant, 2 case agents, 1 analyst, 18 monitors	105,175	0	105,175
	2024-FR-10	See 2024-FR-9			
	2024-FR-11	See 2024-FR-9			
	2024-FR-12	See 2024-FR-9			

⁶ Total costs per electronic interception and per county do not delineate between sources of funds (county, state, federal).

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Fresno (cont'd)	2024-FR-13	4 tech agents, 2 case agents/monitors, 8 linguists	180,266	8,000	188,266
	2024-FR-14	See 2024-FR-13			
	2024-FR-15	See 2024-FR-13			
Fresno Total			484,693	20,000	504,693
Kings	2024-KI-1	1 monitor, 1 agent, 1 technician	29,339	0	29,339
	2024-KI-2	1 monitor, 1 agent, 1 technician	20,742	0	20,742
	2024-KI-3	1 monitor, 1 agent, 1 technician	10,652	0	10,652
	2024-KI-4	1 monitor, 1 agent	8,714	0	8,714
	2024-KI-5	1 monitor, 1 agent	18,889	0	18,889
	2024-KI-6	1 monitor, 1 agent, 1 technician	15,455	0	15,455
	2024-KI-7	2 monitors, 1 agent, 1 technician	30,551	0	30,551
	2024-KI-8	1 monitor, 1 agent	9,824	0	9,824
	2024-KI-9	1 monitor, 2 agents, 1 technician	25,544	0	25,544
	2024-KI-10	2 monitors, 1 agent, 1 technician	24,240	0	24,240
	2024-KI-11	1 monitor, 1 agent, 1 technician	20,367	0	20,367
	2024-KI-12	1 monitor, 2 agents, 1 technician	37,114	0	37,114
	2024-KI-13	1 monitor, 2 agents, 1 technician	13,241	0	13,241
	2024-KI-14	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	26,939	0	26,939
	2024-KI-15	2 monitors, 2 agents, 2 technician	27,495	0	27,495
	2024-KI-16	2 monitors, 1 agent, 1 technician	24,432	0	24,432

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Kings (cont'd)	2024-KI-17	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	28,154	0	28,154
	2024-KI-18	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	33,397	0	33,397
	2024-KI-19	1 monitor, 1 agent, 1 technician	19,857	0	19,857
	2024-KI-20	2 monitors, 1 agent, 2 technicians	24,913	0	24,913
	2024-KI-21	1 monitor, agents, 1 technician	17,220	0	17,220
	2024-KI-22	1 monitor, 1 technician	12,567	0	12,567
	2024-KI-23	1 monitor, 1 agent, 1 technician	8,768	0	8,768
	2024-KI-24	1 monitor, 1 agent, 1 technician	8,460	0	8,460
Kings Total			496,874	0	496,874
Los Angeles	2024-LA-1	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-2	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-3	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-4	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-5	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-6	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-7	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-8	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-9	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-10	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2024-LA-11	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-12	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-13	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2024-LA-14	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-15	15 monitors, 2 technicians, 1 analyst, 2 investigating officers	40,000	6,000	46,000
	2024-LA-16	15 monitors, 2 technicians, 1 analyst, 2 investigating officers	40,000	6,000	46,000
	2024-LA-17	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-18	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-19	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-20	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-21	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-22	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-23	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-24	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-25	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-26	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-27	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2024-LA-28	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-29	2 monitors, 1 technician, 10 investigators	34,340	1,500	35,840
	2024-LA-30	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-31	3 monitors, 1 technician, 10 investigators	50,545	3,000	53,545
	2024-LA-32	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-33	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-34	5 monitors, 1 agent	48,000	6,000	54,000
	2024-LA-35	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-36	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-37	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-38	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-39	See 2024-LA-34			
	2024-LA-40	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-41	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-42	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-43	See 2024-LA-34			
	2024-LA-44	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-45	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-46	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-47	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-48	2 monitors, 1 technician, 2 investigators	17,382	330	17,712
	2024-LA-49	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-50	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-51	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-52	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-53	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-54	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-55	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-56	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-57	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-58	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-59	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-60	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-61	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-62	4 monitors, 2 agents	36,000	9,000	45,000
	2024-LA-63	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-64	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-65	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-66	2 monitors, 1 agent	12,000	3,000	15,000
	2024-LA-67	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-68	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-69	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-70	2 monitors, 1 technician, 8 investigators	22,300	1,500	23,800
	2024-LA-71	2 monitors, 1 agent	12,200	1,000	13,200

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-72	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-73	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-74	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-75	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-76	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-77	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-78	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-79	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-80	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-81	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-82	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-83	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-84	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-85	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-86	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-87	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-88	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-89	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-90	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-91	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-92	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-93	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-94	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-95	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-96	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-97	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-98	4 monitors, 1 technician, 2 investigators	31,683	2,820	34,503
	2024-LA-99	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-100	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-101	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-102	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-103	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-104	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-105	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-106	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-107	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2024-LA-108	2 monitors, 1 agent	24,400	1,000	25,400
	2024-LA-109	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2024-LA-110	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2024-LA-111	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2024-LA-112	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-113	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2024-LA-114	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-115	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-116	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2024-LA-117	6 monitors, 3 interpreters, 3 technicians	2,500	4,750	7,250
	2024-LA-118	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-119	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-LA-120	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
Los Angeles Total			3,499,600	285,100	3,784,700
Merced	2024-MER-1	8 agents, 2 analysts	35,000	0	35,000
	2024-MER-2	See 2024-MER-1			
	2024-MER-3	See 2024-MER-1			
	2025-MER-1	See 2024-MER-1			
	2025-MER-2	See 2024-MER-1			
	2025-MER-3	See 2024-MER-1			
	2025-MER-4	See 2024-MER-1			
	2025-MER-5	See 2024-MER-1			
	2025-MER-6	See 2024-MER-1			
	2025-MER-7	See 2024-MER-1			
Merced Total			35,000	0	35,000
Orange	2024-OR-1	9 surveillance investigators, 10 monitors, 1 installation agent, 3 investigators, 5 undercover agents	150,000	3,000	153,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Orange (cont'd)	2024-OR-2	9 surveillance investigators, 10 monitors, 1 installation agent, 3 investigators, 5 undercover assets	25,000	1,500	26,500
Orange Total			175,000	4,500	179,500
Riverside	2023-RIV-87	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2023-RIV-91	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2023-RIV-112	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2023-RIV-114	1 officer, 4 civilian monitors, 1 technician	30,000	3,000	33,000
	2023-RIV-117	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2023-RIV-118	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2023-RIV-119	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	18,000	3,000	21,000
	2023-RIV-120	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2023-RIV-121	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2023-RIV-122	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	18,000	3,000	21,000
	2023-RIV-123	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2023-RIV-124	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2023-RIV-125	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2023-RIV-126	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2023-RIV-127	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2023-RIV-128	2 monitors, 1 technicians, 5-person DEA task force	34,553	3,030	37,583
	2024-RIV-1	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-2	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-3	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-4	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-5	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-6	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-7	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-8	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2024-RIV-9	2 monitors, 1 technician, 5-person DEA task force	34,553	3,030	37,583
	2024-RIV-10	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-11	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-12	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-13	2 monitors, 1 technician, 5-person DEA task force	34,553	3,030	37,583
	2024-RIV-14	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-15	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-16	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2024-RIV-17	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-18	4 Monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-19	2 monitors, 1 technicians, 5-person DEA task force	34,553	3,030	37,583
	2024-RIV-20	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-21	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	7,000	15,000	22,000
	2024-RIV-22	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-23	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-24	2 monitors, 1 technician, 5-person DEA task force	34,553	3,030	37,583
	2024-RIV-25	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-26	2 monitors, 1 technician, 5-person DEA task force	35,553	3,030	38,583
	2024-RIV-27	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-28	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	180,000	2,000	182,000
	2024-RIV-29	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-30	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-31	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2024-RIV-32	2 monitors, 1 technician, 5-person DEA task force	34,553	3,030	37,583
	2024-RIV-33	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-34	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2024-RIV-35	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-36	2 monitors, 1 technicians, 5-person DEA task force	34,553	3,030	37,583
	2024-RIV-37	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-38	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-39	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-40	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-41	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	7,000	15,000	22,000
	2024-RIV-42	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-43	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-44	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	7,000	15,000	22,000
	2024-RIV-45	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-46	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	7,000	15,000	22,000
	2024-RIV-47	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-48	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2024-RIV-49	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-50	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-51	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-52	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-53	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-54	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-55	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-56	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-57	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-58	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-59	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-60	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2024-RIV-61	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-62	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-63	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-64	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-65	2 officers, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	7,000	1,500	8,500
	2024-RIV-66	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-67	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-68	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-69	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-70	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-71	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-72	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-73	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-74	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-75	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-76	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-77	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-78	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-79	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5-person DEA task force	76,000	3,000	79,000
	2024-RIV-80	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-81	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-82	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-83	2 monitors, 1 technicians, 5-person DEA task force	30,000	1,800	31,800
	2024-RIV-84	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-85	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-86	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-87	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-88	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-89	2 monitors, 1 technician, 5-person DEA task force	30,000	1,800	31,800
	2024-RIV-91	4 monitors, 2 technicians, 5-person DEA task force	180,000	7,500	187,500
	2024-RIV-92	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-93	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-94	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-95	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-96	2 monitors, 1 technicians, 5-person DEA task force	30,000	1,800	31,800
	2024-RIV-98	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Riverside (cont'd)	2024-RIV-99	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-100	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-101	2 monitors, 1 technicians, 5-person DEA task force	30,000	1,800	31,800
	2024-RIV-102	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-103	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-104	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-105	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-106	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-107	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-108	2 monitors, 1 technician, 5-person DEA task force	30,000	1,800	31,800
	2024-RIV-110	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-112	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-114	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-115	2 DEA civilian monitors, 5-person DEA task force	72,000	3,000	75,000
	2024-RIV-117	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-RIV-120	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
Riverside Total			2,824,424	322,240	3,146,664
San Bernardino	2023-SBD-44	8 investigators	10,000	1,000	11,000
	2023-SBD-45	8 investigators	7,500	1,000	8,500
	2024-SBD-1	2 monitors, 1 technician	302,400	1,000	303,400

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2024-SBD-2	4 monitors, 1 technician	71,820	4,000	75,820
	2024-SBD-3	6 monitors, 1 technician	1,500	825	2,325
	2024-SBD-4	26 monitors, 1 agent	27,945	5,000	32,945
	2024-SBD-5	17 monitors, 1 agent	27,945	5,000	32,945
	2024-SBD-6	6 monitors, 1 technician	1,500	825	2,325
	2024-SBD-7	2 monitors, 1 technician	71,820	2,000	73,820
	2024-SBD-9	6 monitors, 1 technician	15,000	1,530	16,530
	2024-SBD-10	2 monitors, 1 technician	36,540	1,000	37,540
	2024-SBD-11	6 monitors, 1 technician	40,000	0	40,000
	2024-SBD-12	2 monitors, 1 technician	71,820	2,000	73,820
	2024-SBD-13	6 monitors, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2024-SBD-14	2 monitors, 1 technician	36,450	2,000	38,450
	2024-SBD-15	6 monitors, 1 technician	1,500	900	2,400
	2024-SBD-16	2 monitors, 1 technician	75,600	2,000	77,600
	2024-SBD-17	7 sworn personnel, 1 technician	268,000	5,630	273,630
	2024-SBD-18	7 sworn personnel, 1 technician	234,000	3,690	237,690
	2024-SBD-19	2 monitors, 1 technician	35,280	2,000	37,280
	2024-SBD-20	7 sworn personnel, 1 technician	11,550	3,200	14,750
	2024-SBD-21	7 sworn personnel, 1 technician	11,550	3,500	15,050
	2024-SBD-22	2 monitors, 1 technician	37,800	2,000	39,800
	2024-SBD-23	7 sworn personnel, 2 technicians	26,555	1,500	28,055

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
San Bernardino Total			1,442,075	53,600	1,495,675
San Diego	2024-SD-1	2 monitors, 3 agents, 1 technician	37,445	350	37,795
	2024-SD-2	7 monitors, 3 agents, 1 technician	218,000	5,800	223,800
	2024-SD-3	5 monitors, 1 agent, 1 wire room manager, 1 technician	109,590	1,530	111,120
San Diego Total			365,035	7,680	372,715
San Francisco	2024-SF-1	12 monitors, 20 officers and sergeants, 1 agent, 1 case agent	584,871	6,000	590,871
	2024-SF-2	See 2024-SF-1			
	2024-SF-3	See 2024-SF-1			
	2024-SF-4	See 2024-SF-1			
San Francisco Total			584,871	6,000	590,871
San Joaquin	2024-SJ-7	See 2024-SJ-20			
	2024-SJ-8	See 2024-SJ-20			
	2024-SJ-9	See 2024-SJ-20			
	2024-SJ-10	See 2024-SJ-20			
	2024-SJ-11	See 2024-SJ-20			
	2024-SJ-12	See 2024-SJ-20			
	2024-SJ-13	See 2024-SJ-20			
	2024-SJ-14	See 2024-SJ-20			
	2024-SJ-15	See 2024-SJ-20			
	2024-SJ-16	See 2024-SJ-20			
	2024-SJ-17	See 2024-SJ-20			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2024-SJ-18	See 2024-SJ-20			
	2024-SJ-19	See 2024-SJ-20			
	2024-SJ-20	7 officers, 11 detectives, 3 monitors	733,784	110,000	843,784
San Joaquin Total			733,784	110,000	843,784
San Luis Obispo	2024-SLO-1	30 monitors	109,560	2,475	112,035
San Luis Obispo Total			109,560	2,475	112,035
Santa Clara	2024-SCL-1	See 2024-SCL-3			
	2024-SCL-2	See 2024-SCL-3			
	2024-SCL-3	6 monitors	27,036	3,500	30,536
Santa Clara Total			27,036	3,500	30,536
Ventura	2024-VE-1	12 monitors, 2 crime analysts, 2 linguists	45,000	15,000	60,000
	2024-VE-2	3 monitors, 1 case agent	27,337	6,535	33,872
	2024-VE-3	3 monitors, 1 case agent	31,484	6,440	37,924
	2024-VE-4	6 monitors, 1 technician	5,235	440	5,675
	2024-VE-5	3 monitors, 1 case agent	48,071	5,364	53,435
	2024-VE-6	12 monitors, 2 crime analysts	35,000	15,000	50,000
	2024-VE-7	12 monitors, 2 crime analysts, 2 linguists	45,000	20,000	65,000
	2024-VE-8	2-3 monitors, 1 case agent, 1 wire room manager	30,886	7,170	38,056
	2024-VE-9	2-3 monitors, 1 case agent, 1 wire room manager	44,083	6,285	50,368
	2024-VE-10	3 monitors, 1 technician	34,351	6,280	40,631
	2024-VE-11	10 monitors, 2 crime analysts	30,000	10,000	40,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2024

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁶
Ventura (cont'd)	2024-VE-12	2 monitors, 1 case agent	32,713	7,815	40,528
Ventura Total			409,160	106,329	515,489
Grand Total			11,203,799	923,284	12,127,083

Table 7
Jurisdictions Reporting No Electronic Interception Activity
During Calendar Year 2024⁷

Alpine	Amador	Butte
CADOJ	Calaveras	Colusa
Contra Costa	Del Norte	El Dorado
Glenn	Humboldt	Imperial
Inyo	Kern	Lake
Lassen	Madera	Marin
Mariposa	Mendocino	Modoc
Mono	Monterey	Napa
Nevada	Placer	Plumas
Sacramento	San Benito	San Mateo
Santa Barbara	Santa Cruz	Shasta
Sierra	Siskiyou	Solano
Sonoma	Stanislaus	Sutter
Tehama	Trinity	Tulare
Tuolumne	Yolo	Yuba

⁷ Consistent with statutory reporting requirements, the jurisdictions listed in Table 7 reported that they did not apply for a court order authorizing the interception of electronic communications.

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
						Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Alameda	2013	7/31/2013	13-16	2013-ALA-16						1			
	2019	3/25/2019	19-01 to 19-03	2019-ALA-1; 2019-ALA-2; 2019-ALA-3								2	voluntary manslaughter with firearm use (PC192(a) x2 + PC12022.5)
Contra Costa	2019	8/2/2019	2019-81102	2019-CC-27								1	murder
	2021	8/9/2021	2021-21-01030	2021-CC-1								4	attempted murder, firearms possession
	2021	3/8/2021	2021-21-01034	2021-CC-5								5	attempted murder, manslaughter
San Diego	2018	2/12/2018	18-02	2018-SD-1		1	Narcotics					1	accessory
	2020	11/9/2020	20-02; 20-03	2020-SD-2; 2020-SD-3								2	attempted murder + gang allegation, conspiracy to commit manslaughter + gang allegation
	2021	3/17/2021	21-04	2021-SD-1		1	Narcotics						
	2022	6/14/2022	22-03; 22-05	2022-SD-3; 2022-SD-4								2	firearms, narcotics
	2023	5/30/2023	23-01	2023-SD-1								3	felony assault, conspiracy

Table 9
List of Electronic Interception Orders Approved but Never Installed or Not Used During Calendar Year 2024⁸

Approved But Never Installed	Installed But Never Used
2024-SBD-8	

⁸ There are various reasons why an application for an electronic interception may be approved but never implemented. For example, the user of a targeted device or number may cease using the particular device or number before law enforcement agents can begin intercepting communications.

Table 10
Use of Wiretap Evidence in Administrative/Disciplinary Hearings

No data to report for 2024

APPENDIX A: PENAL CODE SECTION 629.62 “REPORT BY ATTORNEY GENERAL”

- (a) The Attorney General shall prepare and submit an annual report to the Legislature, the Judicial Council, and the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts on interceptions conducted under the authority of this chapter during the preceding year. Information for this report shall be provided to the Attorney General by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order pursuant to this chapter.
- (b) The report shall include all of the following data:
- (1) The number of orders or extensions applied for.
 - (2) The kinds of orders or extensions applied for.
 - (3) The fact that the order or extension was granted as applied for, was modified, or was denied.
 - (4) The number of wire or electronic communication devices that are the subject of each order granted.
 - (5) The period of interceptions authorized by the order, and the number and duration of any extensions of the order.
 - (6) The offense specified in the order or application, or extension of an order.
 - (7) The identity of the applying law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the person authorizing the application.
 - (8) The nature of the facilities from which or the place where communications were to be intercepted.
 - (9) A general description of the interceptions made under the order or extension, including (A) the number of persons whose communications were intercepted, (B) the number of communications intercepted, (C) the percentage of incriminating communications intercepted and the percentage of other communications intercepted, and (D) the approximate nature, amount, and cost of the manpower and other resources used in the interceptions.
 - (10) The number of arrests resulting from interceptions made under the order or extension, and the offenses for which arrests were made.
 - (11) The number of trials resulting from the interceptions.
 - (12) The number of motions to suppress made with respect to the interceptions, and the number granted or denied.
 - (13) The number of convictions resulting from the interceptions and the offenses for which the convictions were obtained and a general assessment of the importance of the interceptions.

- (14) Except with regard to the initial report required by this section, the information required by paragraphs (9) to (13), inclusive, with respect to orders or extensions obtained in a preceding calendar year.
 - (15) The date of the order for service of inventory made pursuant to Section 629.68, confirmation of compliance with the order, and the number of notices sent.
 - (16) Other data that the Legislature, the Judicial Council, or the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall require.
- (c) The annual report shall be filed no later than April of each year, and shall also include a summary analysis of the data reported pursuant to subdivision (b). The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the content and form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to this section by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order to intercept wire or electronic communications.
- (d) The Attorney General shall, upon the request of an individual making an application, provide any information known to him or her as a result of these reporting requirements that would enable the individual making an application to comply with paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 629.50.

APPENDIX B: PENAL CODE SECTIONS 629.78 & 629.82

Section 629.78:

Any person who has received, by any means authorized by this chapter, any information concerning a wire or electronic communication, or evidence derived therefrom, intercepted in accordance with the provisions of this chapter, may, pursuant to Section 629.82, disclose the contents of that communication or derivative evidence while giving testimony under oath or affirmation in any criminal court proceeding or in any grand jury proceeding, or in an administrative or disciplinary hearing involving the employment of a peace officer.

Section 629.82:

- (a) If a peace officer or federal law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire or electronic communications in the manner authorized by this chapter, intercepts wire or electronic communications relating to crimes other than those specified in the order of authorization, but that are enumerated in subdivision (a) of Section 629.52, grand theft involving a firearm, a violation of Section 18750 or 18755, or a violent felony as defined in subdivision (c) of Section 667.5, (1) the contents thereof, and evidence derived therefrom, may be disclosed or used as provided in Sections 629.74 and 629.76 and (2) the contents and any evidence derived therefrom may be used under Section 629.78 when authorized by a judge if the judge finds, upon subsequent application, that the contents were otherwise intercepted in accordance with the provisions of this chapter. The application shall be made as soon as practicable.
- (b) If a peace officer or federal law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire or electronic communications in the manner authorized by this chapter, intercepts wire or electronic communications relating to crimes other than those specified in subdivision (a), the contents thereof, and evidence derived therefrom, may not be disclosed or used as provided in Sections 629.74 and 629.76, except to prevent the commission of a public offense. The contents and any evidence derived therefrom may not be used under Section 629.78, except where the evidence was obtained through an independent source or inevitably would have been discovered, and the use is authorized by a judge who finds that the contents were intercepted in accordance with this chapter.
- (c) The use of the contents of an intercepted wire or electronic communication relating to crimes other than those specified in the order of authorization to obtain a search or arrest warrant entitles the person named in the warrant to notice of the intercepted wire or electronic communication and a copy of the contents thereof that were used to obtain the warrant.
- (d) (1) If a peace officer or federal law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire or electronic communications in the manner authorized by this chapter, intercepts wire or electronic communications relating to crimes, other than those specified in subdivision (a), and involving the employment of a peace officer, the contents thereof, and evidence derived therefrom, may not be disclosed or used as provided in Sections 629.74 and 629.76, except to prevent the commission of a public offense or in an administrative or disciplinary hearing

involving the employment of a peace officer. The contents and any evidence derived therefrom may not be used under Section 629.78, except if the evidence was obtained through an independent source or inevitably would have been discovered, and the use is authorized by a judge who finds that the contents were intercepted in accordance with this chapter.

(2) This section does not authorize the use of an intercepted wire or electronic communication involving acts that only involve a violation of a departmental rule or guideline that is not a public offense under California law.

(3) If an agency employing peace officers utilizes evidence obtained pursuant to this subdivision in an administrative or disciplinary proceeding, the agency shall, on an annual basis, report both of the following to the Attorney General:

(A) The number of administrative or disciplinary proceedings involving the employment of a peace officer in which the agency utilized evidence obtained pursuant to this subdivision.

(B) The specific offenses for which evidence obtained pursuant to this subdivision was used in those administrative or disciplinary proceedings.

(4) (A) The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to paragraph (3) by an agency utilizing intercepted wire or electronic communications in an administrative or disciplinary proceeding against a peace officer.

(B) The Attorney General shall include information received pursuant to paragraph (3) in its annual report made pursuant to Section 629.62.